

# UNITED STATES INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

---

In the Matter of:

CERTAIN CERAMIC STATION POST  
INSULATORS FROM JAPAN

)  
) Investigation No.:  
) 731-TA-1023  
) (Preliminary)

Pages: 1 through 105

Place: Washington, D.C.

Date: January 21, 2003

---

## HERITAGE REPORTING CORPORATION

*Official Reporters*  
1220 L Street, N.W., Suite 600  
Washington, D.C. 20005  
(202) 628-4888

## THE UNITED STATES INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

In the Matter of: )  
 ) Investigation No.:  
CERTAIN CERAMIC STATION POST ) 731-TA-1023  
INSULATORS FROM JAPAN ) (Preliminary)

Tuesday,  
January 21, 2003

Room 101  
U. S. International  
Trade Commission  
500 E St., SW  
Washington, D.C.

The conference commenced, pursuant to Notice, at  
9:33 a.m., before the Commissioners of the United States  
International Trade Commission, ROBERT CARPENTER, Acting  
Director of Investigations, Presiding.

## APPEARANCES:

On behalf of the International Trade Commission:

Staff:

ROBERT CARPENTER, Acting Director of  
Investigations  
JAMES McCLURE, Supervisory Investigator  
FRED FISCHER, Investigator  
MICHAEL HALDENSTEIN, Attorney/Advisor  
CRAIG THOMSEN, Economist  
DAVID BOYLAND, Auditor/Accountant  
JOHN CUTCHIN, Commodity-Industry Analyst

ADDITIONAL APPEARANCES:IN SUPPORT OF THE IMPOSITION OF ANTIDUMPING  
DUTIES:

ROB JOHNSON  
Vice President and General Manager  
Lapp Insulator Co. LLC

RICK STANLEY  
President  
Newell Porcelain Co., Inc.

IRA KNICKERBOCKER  
Vice President  
Victor Insulators, Inc.

SALVATORE FILI  
President  
IEU-CWA Local No. 22485/81495, AFL-CIO

RICHARD BOLTUCK  
Economic Consultant  
Charles River Associates, Inc.

ANDREW SHELDRIK, Of Counsel  
Nixon Peabody LLP  
New York, New York

IN OPPOSITION TO THE IMPOSITION OF ANTIDUMPING  
DUTIES:

JOHN DIPPOLD DALE  
Vice President  
Lock Insulators, Inc.

JUSHIRO (JACK) HIROMA  
Managing Business Planning Department  
Power Business Group  
NGK-Locke, Inc.

ROBERT CASSIDY, JR., Of Counsel  
Wilmer, Cutler & Pickering  
Washington, D.C.

JOHN GREENWALD, Of Counsel  
Wilmer Cutler & Pickering  
Washington, D.C.

Heritage Reporting Corporation  
(202) 628-4888

ADDITIONAL APPEARANCES:

LEONARD SHAMBON, Of Counsel  
Wilmer, Cutler & Pickering  
Washington, D.C.

JOHN-ALEX ROMANO, Of Counsel  
Wilmer, Cutler & Pickering  
Washington, D.C.

I N D E X

	PAGE
OPENING STATEMENT OF CHAIRMAN OKUN	5
OPENING STATEMENT OF ANDREW SHELDRIK, OF COUNSEL, NIXON PEABODY, LLP	7
TESTIMONY OF ROB JOHNSON, VICE PRESIDENT AND GENERAL MANAGER, LAPP INSULATOR CO. LLC	11
TESTIMONY OF RICK STANLEY, PRESIDENT, NEWELL PORCELAIN CO., INC.	26
TESTIMONY OF IRA KNICKERBOCKER, VICE PRESIDENT, VICTOR INSULATORS, INC.	30
TESTIMONY OF SALVATORE FILI, PRESIDENT, IEU-CWA LOCAL NO. 22485/81495, AFL-CIO	34
TESTIMONY OF RICHARD BOLTUCK, ECONOMIC CONSULTANT, 37 CHARLES RIVER ASSOCIATES, INC.	
OPENING STATEMENT OF ROBERT C. CASSIDY, JR. OF COUNSEL, WILMER, CUTLER & PICKERING	71
TESTIMONY OF JUSHIRO (JACK) HIROMA, MANAGING BUSINESS PLANNING DEPARTMENT, POWER BUSINESS GROUP, NGK-LOCKE, INC.	71
CLOSING STATEMENT OF ANDREW SHELDRIK, OF COUNSEL, NIXON PEABODY, LLP	72
TESTIMONY OF JOHN DIPPOLD DALE, VICE PRESIDENT, 72 LOCK INSULATORS, INC.	
CLOSING STATEMENT OF ROBERT C. CASSIDY, JR. OF COUNSEL, WILMER, CUTLER & PICKERING	102

(9:33 a.m.)

MR. CARPENTER: Good morning and welcome on a rather wintry cold day. Welcome to the United States International Trade Commission's conference in connection with the preliminary phase of antidumping investigation No. 731-TA-1023 concerning imports of certain ceramic station post insulators from Japan.

My name is Robert Carpenter, and I'll be chairing this conference. Among those present from the Commission staff are: from my far right, Jim McClure, the supervisory investigator; Fred Fischer, the investigator. On my left, Michael Haldenstein, the attorney/advisor; Craig Thomsen, the economist; David Boyland, the accountant; and John Cutchin, the commodity-industry analyst.

The purpose of this conference is to allow you to present your views with respect to the subject matter of the investigation in order to assist the Commission in determining whether there is an reasonable indication that an industry in the United States is materially injured or threatened with material injury, or the establishment of an industry in the United States is materially retarded, by reason of imports of the subject merchandise.

Individuals speaking in support of and in opposition to the petition have each been allocated one hour

1 to present their views. Those in support of the petition  
2 will speak first.

3 The staff will ask questions of each panel after  
4 their presentation, but no questions from opposing parties  
5 will be permitted. At the conclusion of the statements from  
6 both sides, each side will be given 10 minutes to rebut  
7 opposing statements and make concluding remarks.

8 This conference is being transcribed and the  
9 transcript will be placed in the public record of the  
10 investigation. Accordingly, speakers are reminded not to  
11 refer in their remarks to business proprietary information,  
12 and to speak directly into the microphones. Copies of the  
13 transcript may be ordered by filling out a form which is  
14 available from the stenographer.

15 You may submit nonconfidential documents or  
16 exhibits during the course of your presentation. These will  
17 be accepted as conference exhibits and incorporated into the  
18 record as attachments to the transcript.

19 Speakers will not be sworn in. However, you are  
20 reminded of the applicability of 18 U.S.C. 1001 to false or  
21 misleading statements, and to the fact that the record of  
22 this proceeding may be subject to court review if there is  
23 an appeal. Finally, we ask that you state your name and  
24 affiliation for the record before beginning your  
25 presentation.

1           Are there any questions?

2           (No response.)

3           MR. CARPENTER:   If not, welcome, Mr. Sheldrick,  
4 please proceed.

5           MR. SHELDRIK:   Thank you, Mr. Chairman, members  
6 of the staff.

7           For the record, my name is Andrew Sheldrick. I am  
8 member of the law firm of Nixon Peabody, counsel to Lapp  
9 Insulator Company, one of the petitioners here. I am  
10 accompanied by my colleague, Kendell Thier.

11          And with the consent of the other petitioners, we  
12 will be coordinating the presentation on behalf of all of  
13 the petitioners here this morning.

14          We have with us representatives of each of the  
15 petitioners, and let me briefly introduce them to you. To  
16 my far left, we have Rick Stanley who is the President of  
17 Newell Porcelain Company. To his right, we have Mr. Ira  
18 Knickerbocker who is the Vice President and post-CEO of  
19 Victor Insulators. To my immediate right, Richard Boltuck  
20 of Charles River Associates, the petitioner's economic  
21 consultants. Next to him is Rob Johnson, the Vice President  
22 and General Manager of the Lapp Insulator Company, Substation  
23 Division -- excuse me, the Insulator Division. And to my  
24 far right is Sam Fili, and I want to look at my notes to be  
25 sure I get this right, the union President of the IUE-CWA



1 Local 22485, which represents the hourly paid employees of  
2 Lapp.

3 I am just going to make a few brief introductory  
4 comments, and then invite these other gentlemen to give  
5 presentations after which, of course, we will be happy to  
6 receive questions from the staff.

7 Mr. Chairman, the product in question, the  
8 products in question are high voltage and ultra-high voltage  
9 ceramic station posts, which I think for purposes of economy  
10 of words, I will just refer to as high voltage station  
11 posts, which are used in electrical substations.

12 We would have been delighted to bring one with us  
13 today, but I can tell you that they range in size from four  
14 feet to 15 feet high, and weigh up to 1200 pounds, so you  
15 will appreciate the problems of getting one of those in the  
16 overhead bin on the plane.

17 But we do, however, have photographs which certain  
18 of the later speakers will be happy to show you to  
19 demonstrate how these products are actually used. I think  
20 when you see the pictures you will know instantly what they  
21 are.

22 The companies that are represented here today in  
23 support of the petition have many station posts and other  
24 ceramic insulator products in the U.S., in some cases for  
25 over 100 years. They all have proven reputations for

1 quality, service, and competitiveness, and over this period  
2 they have maintained their leadership by constant innovation  
3 and by new investments, and I think it's fair to say and you  
4 will hear from them directly, that they all boast state-of-  
5 the-art manufacturing operations.

6           So notwithstanding their age, this is not some  
7 dickensian-era industry which has failed to move with the  
8 times; in fact, quite the contrary.

9           We believe that we can compete with anybody in the  
10 world given the proverbial level playing field, and in fact  
11 these companies have competed in the past for many years  
12 with the company which is here today in opposition to the  
13 petition, namely, NGK.

14           NGK has been present in the U.S. market for many  
15 years, but beginning in 1999, it adopted a new and highly  
16 aggressive marketing strategy.

17           First of all, it targeted the specialty premium  
18 products for which U.S. producers were able to command some  
19 premium in price, and began substantially undercutting those  
20 prices. This spread and developed into a more general  
21 onslaught on prices to products generally, and we believe,  
22 frankly, that this has the very simple goal not only of  
23 increasing market share but also of putting one or more of  
24 the U.S. producers out of business and leaving NGK in the  
25 position in which it can effectively dominate and command

1 market prices.

2           Now, all of this has occurred, the downward trend  
3 in prices, at a time when demand for these products has been  
4 very strong, and the loss of supply and demand should have  
5 mandated the prices equally would remain fairly robust. But  
6 now as the industry enters a cyclical downturn with  
7 decreased demand prices have reached levels that are just  
8 not economically sustainable even in the short term.

9           In fact, during the period we are talking about,  
10 1999 through today, prices have dropped by up to 25 percent  
11 again at a time when demand has been very strong.

12           Now, the economic consequences of this for  
13 domestic producers are described in the business proprietary  
14 data included in the petition and also in the questionnaire  
15 responses. But you will also hear today from Sam Fili the  
16 impact that this predatory dumping has had on the men and  
17 women who depend upon this industry for their livelihoods.  
18 You will hear about skilled and productive employees losing  
19 their jobs, others who have been forced to take very  
20 substantial pay cuts. And in short, we think you will find  
21 compelling evidence that imports of high voltage station  
22 posts from Japan have materially injured the domestic  
23 industry.

24           We think you will also find this injury will  
25 continued, and indeed intensify, unless the domestic

1 industry receives relief in the form of an antidumping  
2 order.

3 Demand in NGK's home market we believe is  
4 sluggish. NGK already has manufacturing facilities making  
5 ceramic insulators in Belgium, China and Indonesia. It has  
6 recently invested a substantial amount in a new facility in  
7 India. And we believe that this additional manufacturing  
8 capacity, particularly in India, will free up production in  
9 Japan for greater manufacture of high voltage and higher  
10 value-added products. We think it's clear that this  
11 additional product will inevitably end up in the U.S. market  
12 if NGK pursues its stated goal of increasing its global  
13 market share.

14 With those brief comments, Mr. Chairman, I would  
15 invite Rick Stanley to make the first presentation -- excuse  
16 me -- Rob Johnson to make the first presentation on behalf  
17 of the petitioners.

18 MR. JOHNSON: Good morning. First of all, I would  
19 introduce myself. As Andy informed you, I am Rob Johnson.  
20 I am Vice President and General Manager of Lapp Insulator  
21 company. I have held this or similar responsible positions  
22 at Lapp for four of my seven years there. In addition, I  
23 have been within the insulator or power industry for 18  
24 years, effectively all of my career.

25 I would like to take a moment to introduce the

1 other members of Lapp Insulator that have joined us today  
2 starting with John Hirschman, our President and CEO, and one  
3 of the owners of the company; Evu Sirken, who is also one of  
4 the owners of the company as well as Vice President and  
5 General Manager of one of our divisions, the Bushing  
6 Division; Emil Plotkin, our corporate counsel, again one of  
7 the owners of the company; Mike Sterchero, our VP of finance  
8 and CFO, also one of the owners of the company.

9           In addition, we have Matt Bailey, our Vice  
10 President of Sales and Marketing; Eric Kress, Director of  
11 Engineering and Quality; and Tracy Weaver, who is our  
12 product manager who is on the front lines of this fight  
13 every day. So thank you for coming.

14           There is a couple of reasons why we brought these  
15 individuals to the meeting today. First of all, with this  
16 group of people, there shouldn't be any questions that we  
17 can't answer for you today, as appropriate. I believe that  
18 I can address the large majority of those, but if there is a  
19 detail that needs to be discussed, I think this group can  
20 address it very, very well.

21           The other things I am going to talk about this  
22 morning, hopefully within 15 minutes, is to briefly  
23 introduce the industry; talk about Lapp's position in the  
24 domestic market primarily, but also in the world market;  
25 describe the Lapp strategies in the competitive marketplace

1 from the nineties into 2000-2002 time frame; Lapp strategies  
2 and countermeasures to the activities and market activity  
3 that NGK poses in this market; describe the impact on Lapp  
4 Insulator Company and its employees; and quickly summarize.

5 First of all, to introduce the industry, I know  
6 many of you have seen in the petition the catalogues in the  
7 back, but I have brought some pictures, though some of them  
8 dated, that kind of put them in context of the industry and  
9 give you a view of what they look like out in the field. So  
10 you know, please review the pictures at your leisure.

11 Okay, in introducing the industry, the U.S.  
12 domestic porcelain insulator industry has a whole is made up  
13 of five companies. So within the U.S. market there is five  
14 companies making insulators. There is six plants and five  
15 companies. Four of those are represented today. The fifth  
16 is Porcelain Products, which does not manufacture this  
17 product, which is the high voltage station post insulators.  
18 And if it's okay, I will abbreviate high voltage and extra-  
19 high voltage just to high voltage.

20 Okay, the domestic products are produced within a  
21 set of industry standards defined by ANSI and IEEE  
22 committees. Those committees have representation from  
23 industry experts, utilities, original equipment  
24 manufacturers and clearly the manufacturers, and Rick will  
25 comment to that a little bit during his presentations. He

1 chairs one of those committees as well as the NEMA  
2 committee.

3           This contrast to some extent with products made  
4 outside -- for production outside the U.S. or for use  
5 outside the U.S., in Japan, for example, they have the JSA  
6 standard. IEC standards cover a large portion of the world.  
7 The ANSI-IEEE standards are very specific for the U.S. and  
8 some of the U.S.-influenced territories around the world.  
9 Canada largely runs with ANSI-IEEE products. Mexico had a  
10 modified version. Other areas in the world also use  
11 modified versions or ANSI-IEEE products.

12           Extending the scope of the market, there is one  
13 vertically integrated manufacturer of ANSI products in  
14 Quebec, Canada. They manufacture switches and insulators  
15 for their switches. They don't do much in the open market.  
16 There are three manufacturers in Mexico, none of which  
17 produce high voltage product.

18           So my point is that the scope of the product in  
19 North America is pretty much in this room right now. There  
20 is not much out there within the rest of North America  
21 within this product scope.

22           Extending that to South America, there is about  
23 eight manufacturers in total of porcelain insulators in  
24 South America, but there is really one manufacturer of high  
25 voltage station posts. That's in Brazil, and it pretty much

1 stays to the home market in Brazil.

2           So you can envision the five U.S. or the four U.S.  
3 companies and the one Brazilian as everything that's  
4 manufactured in the Western Hemisphere.

5           The customer base for the products in this  
6 petition, the high voltage station posts, are large  
7 packagers, OEMs, original equipment manufacturers, and the  
8 final end users are either utilities or industrials; for  
9 example, pharmaceutical company or large corporation that  
10 buys a substation for their plant. The large majority, of  
11 course, end up at utilities either directly through the  
12 manufacturers that are here today or indirectly through an  
13 original equipment manufacturer.

14           As I pointed out, you can see what the individual  
15 station posts looks like in those picture. If you have any  
16 questions, please feel free to ask.

17           Lapp's position in the insulator industry, Lapp  
18 has been really a leader in the industry since 1916. Lapp's  
19 lineage actually starts at Victor where John Lapp, our  
20 founder, began his career with Fred Locke. Locke is a name,  
21 of course, that we all recognize from the petition. So this  
22 is kind of an inbred industry. We all started from the same  
23 roots, which really come out of Ira's company, Victor. And  
24 I hope I didn't steal your thunder there. You will probably  
25 discuss that a little bit.



1           While Lapp Insulator Company has a strong history  
2 in the U.S., we have been the market leader in the station  
3 post products, introducing the first station post product  
4 into the market in 1931, and developing new patented  
5 processes and innovations during our 87 years.

6           That being said, we didn't rest on the know-how  
7 and the technology of the past. In the last several years  
8 we have done a lot of work to improve our processes and our  
9 materials.

10           Again, Lapp has been the market share leader in  
11 high and extra-high voltage station posts as far back as I  
12 can trace records, so in the U.S. domestic market we have  
13 really dominated that market. I shouldn't say dominated, we  
14 have been the market share leader in the neighborhood of 30  
15 to 40 percent over the recent history.

16           We have achieved that market position through  
17 consistent investment, in materials technology, process  
18 technology, labor productivity, equipment automation,  
19 material handling innovation, and really our equipment  
20 people are some of the best in the industry. So we have  
21 stayed very cost competitive over the time horizon of  
22 manufacturing these products, and that's through a lot of  
23 hard work and good research and development that has  
24 occurred in the last 10 - 15 years.

25           Lapp has made extensive use of work cell process

1 technology to improve labor productivity and is really among  
2 the best in the world. I have been through 20 - 25  
3 insulator plants around the world, and you know,  
4 productivity is measured by value generation or value  
5 creation of the product that's being made per employee.  
6 Really, the process that we have up in upstate New York,  
7 it's very, very good and can compete with anyone in the  
8 world.

9           Our products can be found on most every electrical  
10 grid in the U.S. We export products to over 50 countries in  
11 the world. So again, we are out there, we are pretty well  
12 known.

13           Until recently, based on the best information  
14 available, I think it's safe to estimate that Lapp had the  
15 highest install-base of capacity for station posts in the  
16 world. So we -- I'll call that the western world. There  
17 are some things we don't know about Russia and China exactly  
18 these days, but that being said we had in LeRoy a very, very  
19 large capacity for these products.

20           In discussing the market dynamics during the time  
21 period of '99 and 2000, I'm kind of telling you a story, a  
22 story of what we have looked at and faced, and I am sure  
23 that Ira and Rick will expound on some of these points that  
24 I am bringing up. The above was true in 1999, meaning that  
25 we had a very install capacity in our facility, and the

1 market really first started rebound from a down cycle of the  
2 late nineties.

3 All through the nineties with deregulation there  
4 was a pent-up demand building, and there wasn't a very large  
5 market. But in 1999, really we started seeing light at the  
6 end of the tunnel from the standpoint of the size of the  
7 market and the activity in the market.

8 On sales, from NEMA data, which has been provided  
9 in the petition, it shows about a nine percent increase in  
10 market size in 1999, and most of the companies were holding  
11 their traditional market shares. Lapp was in the 40 percent  
12 range, Victor, Newell, Locke were in t heir traditional  
13 market sizes.

14 And what I am going to do is I'm going to describe  
15 a baseline price as 1999, and call that 100 percent for  
16 discussion purposes as we go through this.

17 So really 1999 was -- you know, was kind of the  
18 baseline year from which, you know, we can measure some of  
19 the things that have happened in the recent years.

20 Then during '99, the market appeared to be kind of  
21 at equilibrium with the three domestic producers at this  
22 table, and NGK-Locke having the large majority of the share  
23 within the domestic market.

24 By 2000, however, the market dynamics began to  
25 change very quickly very significantly. The market demand

1 for high voltage station posts grew tremendously as 2000  
2 progressed. You may remember, you know, all the articles in  
3 the paper about the shortage of power, and the actions of  
4 the utilities to start generation projects and transmission  
5 projects to increase the margin to reduce the number of  
6 black-outs that were occurring in the U.S. like they were in  
7 Chicago, and you know, clearly the California crisis.

8           Really the first signs of aggressive behavior by  
9 NGK from Japan was unexplained aggressive price approaches  
10 on really what we can describe as the highest value products  
11 in the U.S. market for ceramic high voltage station posts.  
12 These products are called RG insulators. Well, RG is a  
13 trademark that Lapp uses. Effectively what it is it's a  
14 semi-conducting glaze on the outside of the porcelain, and  
15 that semi-conducting glaze helps it perform very well in  
16 highly environmentally contaminated areas.

17           Lapp had been largely the main supplier of that  
18 product for 20 years, and NGK really was not in that market  
19 heavily at all in the U.S. And in 2000, as they entered the  
20 market, they presented that product and because that product  
21 takes extra processing and special material it demanded a  
22 premium. It wasn't anything that was extravagant; maybe,  
23 you know, 60 percent, something like that, over the standard  
24 product, but it had a lot of value to it.

25           NGK came in and started offering that product at

1 effectively no premium at all or very small premium. So  
2 really the first signal that we noticed that there is  
3 something aggressive coming from across the pond was these  
4 premium products, and that extended into other types of  
5 premium products, things like extra-high strength, so these  
6 are things that are very large in stature, large in  
7 dimension, difficult to make relative to the standard  
8 products.

9           Extra-high leakage, which means they have a large  
10 number of sheds or petticoats as you look at the pictures,  
11 each of these insulators has a protrusion. The more of  
12 those you put per height, you know, the more kind of  
13 difficult it is to make the product. It takes longer to  
14 turn, longer to machine, also becomes a bit tougher to  
15 handle. So, you know, again, the first couple things we saw  
16 in 2000 was they were attacking -- these are my terms --  
17 they were approaching the very high valued products and  
18 effectively wiping out the premium that those products  
19 provided into the marketplace.

20           Really, the second signal -- I've covered that,  
21 excuse me.

22           Also in 2000 for higher volume, non-special-type  
23 of insulators, you know, the standard high volume insulators  
24 at 115 through 230 and above, NGK started to be much more  
25 aggressive in the standard market, going after those

1 products at OEMs, the utilities and packagers.

2 And for those products, setting aside the high  
3 value specialty products, really in 2000 that price level is  
4 driven by NGK to capture share, and largely driven from  
5 Japan, was taken from that baseline of '99 of 100 percent  
6 down to about 94.5 percent. So there was the first move  
7 into the market in 2000.

8 That being said, there was significant market  
9 growth taking place. Most manufacturers, I'll speak  
10 directly for Lapp, but I believe this is true for Victor and  
11 Newell as well, were pretty much satisfied with the overall  
12 strength of the market and the growth in the domestic market  
13 that was occurring in 2000.

14 You know, we questioned, we questioned why in an  
15 increasing market NGK would take an approach to reduce  
16 prices when we have been waiting for the pent-up demand to  
17 release so that all of our businesses could perform at a  
18 higher level.

19 But that being said the market was growing. There  
20 were quite a few customers out there. And NGK's actions  
21 though disturbing, you know, resulted in something that we  
22 were still looking at 2001 as a very good year coming up.  
23 There is a lot of business, a lot of demand, a lot of  
24 investment. So we -- you know, we were relatively satisfied  
25 with the way things were going.

1           Unfortunately, as we got into 2001, on the  
2 positive side it was another year of increased demand in the  
3 market. Actually, it was just a fantastic growth period for  
4 volume of product. But unfortunately, at the same time the  
5 price of natural gas within the U.S. market tripled. It  
6 went from about \$3 a decitherm to \$10 a decitherm where it  
7 closed on December 30th of 2000 for January of 2001.

8           This tripling effect was largely driven by what I  
9 would describe anyway from my response on it non-supply and  
10 demand dynamics. It was really not market driven from, you  
11 know, what we can tell. But that being said, we had to pay  
12 -- you know, it didn't matter where it came from, we had to  
13 pay it. Natural gas is what all the companies used to fire  
14 their kilns, fire their dryers, which is part of the process  
15 as described in the petition, but also all of our raw  
16 materials. many of our raw materials are processed by our  
17 suppliers using natural gas. So those costs went up.

18           Trucking firms and gasoline prices and diesel fuel  
19 was up at that same period of time, so we were paying  
20 surcharges on effectively everything we were producing.

21           So what the domestic producers, the three at this  
22 table chose to do, we sent out notice to our customers in  
23 the first quarter of 2001, we implemented a seven percent  
24 surcharge to help us cover those costs which was only  
25 natural that we would be able to pass that on to our

1 customers, especially in an up-market. You have up-market  
2 conditions, strong market conditions, and costs are going  
3 up, and that should be transferred onto your end user.

4 That being said, what occurred at that point in  
5 time is that NGK stepped in and told customers that they  
6 would step into -- at least in my case -- Lapp's shoes, and  
7 when they stepped into Lapp's shoes they would cover their  
8 contracts if they would cancel their orders which Lapp,  
9 which some did, and NGK did step in.

10 Right about the same time that gave them the  
11 opportunity to go in and renegotiate with the customer. Not  
12 only did they step into the prices Lapp had, but they took  
13 the prices down from where we were the previous year, 95.5,  
14 down to 90.3 percent of that baseline level.

15 Clearly, the surcharge with these activities by  
16 NGK simply collapsed. The market didn't support it. Though  
17 Victor and Newell tried to support it as to porcelain  
18 products and other types of products, but because of NGK's  
19 activities it simply did not follow through.

20 So after that first quarter Lapp had no choice but  
21 to go down and match that 90.3 percent, otherwise their  
22 market share would continue to erode.

23 By September, the 90.3 percent was driven down to  
24 86 percent through another market price move by NGK, and  
25 really in total, counting the value, high value products



1 Lapp was experiencing a total of about a 25 percent price  
2 decay from 1999. So it was about 10 percent on the standard  
3 products, and then with the elimination of the premium on  
4 the higher value products it was netting about a 25 percent  
5 total reduction.

6 As a response, Lapp really had no choice except to  
7 restructure its facilities. We had to lay off. We estimate  
8 we lost about 13 share points from 1999 to 2000, I'm sorry,  
9 2001. We really had to go back and focus on, you know, some  
10 of our core customers, and pull back a little bit from  
11 market share leadership.

12 In 2002, the markets for the projects remained  
13 strong through the first quarter. And while Lapp's  
14 countermeasures or response to NGK's strategy helped us turn  
15 around a little bit because we weren't so aggressive on  
16 market share, what occurred in the second quarter the market  
17 really started to collapse. Okay, so we lost that market  
18 share and the market started to fall.

19 So at that same time because everybody needed  
20 volume, NGK took it down to another level, which is 82  
21 percent from the baseline of 100 of 1999.

22 I'm about out of time here so I will cover this  
23 quickly.

24 The impact on Lapp was another round, actually two  
25 more rounds of layoffs in 2002, but beyond that and the

1 impact that it's had on our people, we had to shut down one  
2 of our two tunnel kilns. A tunnel kiln is a very high-  
3 volume piece of equipment that we had in the restructuring  
4 of our facility we had to take off-line. We also shut down  
5 four smaller kilns and four medium-sized periodic kilns, so  
6 we had to significantly reduce our capacity.

7           We removed from service about 10 percent of the  
8 square footage in the facility, and as I mentioned a minute  
9 ago, we had two more hourly and salary layoffs.

10           The domestic industry has been through tough  
11 markets before. As a matter of fact, current estimates our  
12 2003 will approximately be at 1999 volume levels, so it's  
13 not like these are unprecedented low slow-downs. It's a  
14 slow-down, but it's something within the bandwidth that this  
15 industry has ridden through.

16           The current problems that Lapp is facing is that  
17 with the current price levels there is no opportunity to  
18 improve the investment or market growth in the future, so  
19 our hands have been tied.

20           Lapp is committed to the station post industry.  
21 However, that commitment cannot result in bleeding cash with  
22 no result in sight to the deflated prices brought in by NGK  
23 in both the standard and the special market. And the  
24 current conditions investment will be impossible,  
25 effectively sealing our fate.

1           Quickly in summary, what I have attempted to do is  
2 describe to you that the Lapp Insulator Company employees  
3 and owners feel specific damage has been applied to the U.S.  
4 industry through dumping of high voltage station posts  
5 manufactured in Japan to the U.S. market, effectively  
6 destroying the opportunity for current and future  
7 profitability, and thus sustainability of the domestic high  
8 voltage industry.

9           Lapp Insulator entered into this petition filing  
10 with great reservation. Lapp is not a litigious company by  
11 nature. I can't think of any lawsuits that we have filed.  
12 What we are looking at is for a level playing field. We at  
13 the company are a group of employees and owners not looking  
14 for a hand-out; we just want a level playing field.

15           Thank you.

16           MR. SHELDRIK: Thanks, Rob.

17           I think next up is Rick Stanley on behalf of  
18 Newell Porcelain Company.

19           MR. STANLEY: Good morning.

20           For the record, my name is Rick Stanley. I am the  
21 President and CEO of the Newell Porcelain Company, and we  
22 are a manufacturer of high voltage porcelain located in  
23 Newell, West Virginia.

24           Our facility was idled in 1987 by Ohio Brass,  
25 which was a division of the Hubbell Company, in their

1 decision to move away from porcelain production and  
2 concentrate on polymer products only.

3           The plant closing resulted in the loss of  
4 approximately 150 jobs at the time. At the urging and the  
5 support of then West Virginia Governor Jay Rockefeller a  
6 management team was recruited, financing acquired, and the  
7 assets purchased. Following some needed infrastructure  
8 investment, we opened for business in January of 1989.

9           Within six months 50 percent of the laid off  
10 former Ohio Brass employees had been recalled to their job  
11 at Newell.

12           At our peak, and just prior to the period of  
13 investigation in 1999, Newell Porcelain's employment had  
14 reached over 100 employees, providing good paying family  
15 supportive jobs with health care and pension benefits.

16           I joined Newell in July of '89 as a result of  
17 their attempt to establish a sales department in the  
18 company. In November of '91, I was given responsibility as  
19 sales manager. In 1994, I became the Vice President Sales  
20 and Marketing, and continued in that capacity until June of  
21 '99, when I assumed the current role I have as President and  
22 CEO.

23           At that point, or in September of '99, I, along  
24 with our current CFO, put together a leverage buyout with  
25 four other outside investors, and we purchased Newell

1 Porcelain Company.

2 I have witnessed over the last three years NGK's  
3 constant and continuing lowering of prices. It's for the  
4 most part NGK, not our domestic competitors, that forced us  
5 to lower our prices to get an order and often times we still  
6 are unable to book the product.

7 I would like to make a few comments about the  
8 market. And as Rob mentioned earlier, I am involved in and  
9 have been involved in the sales and marketing at Newell  
10 almost since its inception, and over the years have gotten  
11 involved in with the NEMA, National Electrical Manufacturers  
12 Association, which I currently chair the insulator committee  
13 in that organization, and I'm the co-chair of ANSI-C29, and  
14 our function is to establish the standards for ceramic and  
15 non-ceramic products manufactured in the United States.

16 In regards to the market, in early '99, we  
17 forecasted an increase in demand for high and ultra-high  
18 voltage products. This was based on customer input, and  
19 after assessing the strong influence on the market by  
20 independent power producers.

21 And as a response to this forecast that we had, I  
22 approached our board of directors and asked them to approve  
23 authorization for me, for our company to refurbish and idle  
24 kiln in our facility. And this kiln had been idled actually  
25 since the Ohio Brass days of our company. That

1 authorization was approved.

2           The investment increased Newell's capacity to fire  
3 high and ultra-high voltage porcelain by approximately 30  
4 percent, all of which was done to position us to capitalize  
5 on this increased demand. And as we projected the strong  
6 demand did occur as Rob described, and unfortunately, Newell  
7 and I suspect the other producers did not enjoy or even  
8 share in the upturn of demand due to the increase intrusion  
9 by NGK driving price downward in their new strategy.

10           What's most alarming is the inescapable conclusion  
11 that NGK implemented a price strategy designed to cripple  
12 domestic competition by targeting the products of high and  
13 ultra-high voltage units as well as the other type product  
14 that Rob described which prior to the period of  
15 investigation provided premium prices to Newell and other  
16 domestic producers.

17           In the face of increased financial pressure over  
18 the last three years, Newell has been forced to lower  
19 prices, thus reducing revenues as represented by the  
20 financial data which is included as a part of Newell's  
21 confidential and proprietary submission.

22           We have in some cases deferred orders and  
23 cancelled important projects as a result of this lost  
24 revenues. We have been forced to reduce our management  
25 workforce by 30 percent, and our unionized production and

1 maintenance workforce by 20 percent over this period.

2 And sadly, the kiln which I had previously  
3 mentioned that we refurbished and brought into production  
4 has been idled now for the past nine months as an impact and  
5 the result of losing these order to NGK.

6 I would also like to say that besides the kiln  
7 that we brought on line our additional kilns, the capacities  
8 have probably dropped on the order of another 20 percent, so  
9 right now we are running at about 50 percent of kiln  
10 capacity to what we were during these strong demand periods  
11 of 2000 and 2001.

12 My company and its employees have suffered these  
13 losses despite a solid performance of producing a quality  
14 product with a strong record of on-time delivery. As a  
15 start-up company in 1999, everyone at Newell has worked  
16 diligently to establish a position and reputation in the  
17 marketplace, and because of NGK's predatory pricing we have  
18 derived no benefit in the last three years.

19 Thank you.

20 MR. SHELDRIK: Next up we have Ira Knickerbocker  
21 on behalf of the Victor Insulator Company.

22 MR. KNICKERBOCKER: Good morning.

23 For the record, my name is Ira Knickerbocker. I  
24 am a principal stockholder and a co-CEO of Victor  
25 Insulators. My academic background is ceramics and I have

1 over 30 years experience in the operations and management of  
2 our insulator business.

3 Victor is the oldest insulator manufacturer in the  
4 United States. Fred Locke first began producing insulators  
5 made from porcelain in 1893 on our site 20 miles southeast  
6 of Rochester, New York.

7 Victor produces the broadest range of products of  
8 any U.S. manufacturer. For example, we offer a full range  
9 of pin types. line posts, suspension insulators for  
10 distribution for voltage applications. We produce a variety  
11 of medium and high voltage specialty products for OEMs, and  
12 we produce a full range of substation products.

13 For over 100 years, porcelain insulators from  
14 Victor have helped build the electrical utility  
15 infrastructure throughout the United States and elsewhere.  
16 While proud of our tradition, we are proud of the fact that  
17 Victor has continually evolved in its processes and product  
18 offerings to meet the needs of our customers. This has  
19 helped assure Victor would remain a viable, cost-effective  
20 and valued supplier.

21 This changed process continued up to and  
22 throughout the period of investigation. In 1996-97, we  
23 predicted a strengthening demand for high voltage and extra-  
24 high voltage station posts. This demand was expected to  
25 remain high once it developed at least into 2004 and longer.



1 We recognize we had limited production capacity, and we  
2 would lose market share due to our inability to produce more  
3 in proportion to the rising demand. We recognized we did  
4 not have the most cost-effective designs, and that the  
5 market was gradually turning away from cavity core products  
6 to solid core products. We recognized the high voltage and  
7 extra-high voltage station posts are more highly engineered  
8 and have more value added than many of our lower voltage  
9 commitized products.

10           Given a level playing field, a U.S. manufacturer  
11 can compete with anyone in the world. For these reasons we  
12 invested several millions of dollars in our business, nearly  
13 \$2 million of which were devoted to the high voltage and  
14 extra-high voltage station post products.

15           This investment was spent on analysis and process  
16 control equipment, new and more effective mixing, more  
17 effective and efficient press equipment, a very large 270  
18 horsepower vacuum extruder, additional drying capacity, a  
19 new six-spindle green finish C&D lathe for large porcelain  
20 components, and a new large glaze machine.

21           We have invested heavily in process development  
22 and product development. We are well on our way to having a  
23 state-of-the-art expanded product line for high voltage and  
24 extra-high voltage applications.

25           With these investments, we addressed capacity

1 constraints and our output rose 75 percent. With these  
2 investments the porcelain component building blocks that  
3 make up a high voltage or extra-high voltage post were  
4 increased in size from a maximum 30 inches to a maximum of  
5 60 inches. We significantly reduced the metal component  
6 costs as well as our assembly labor costs.

7           From our producer questionnaire you can see that  
8 our sales revenue increased substantially. But in spite of  
9 all of our successful efforts to reduce costs, our gross  
10 margin percentage dropped by half. We have negative  
11 operating income starting 2001.

12           Victor is not a price leader. There is no  
13 question that NGK, NGK-Locke led the prices down throughout  
14 the period of investigation.

15           Due to capital issues, the high voltage -- the  
16 demand for high voltage and extra-high voltage station posts  
17 has now weakened significantly. It is likely this demand  
18 will remain depressed at least through 2003.

19           Under ordinary circumstances, we could cope with  
20 periodic drops in demand. But Victor, like the other  
21 petitioners, is vulnerable because we have not been able to  
22 make money during a period of high demand, which in turn is  
23 because NGK has driven prices down to a level that is  
24 unsustainable.

25           In recent weeks, we have laid off many hourly

1 employees, curtailed our product development and testing  
2 programs, curtained investment plans. Under current pricing  
3 circumstances the viability of Victor Insulators as a  
4 business is in question.

5 Thank you.

6 MR. SHELDRIK: Thank you.

7 Mr. Chairman, having heard the presentations from  
8 the companies, I think it's important in order to complete  
9 the picture of what's happened to the domestic industry to  
10 hear more about the impact on the workforce, and for that I  
11 turn to Sam Fili.

12 MR. FILI: Good morning, Mr. Chairman, members of  
13 the staff.

14 My name is Sam Fili. I am the President of Local  
15 22485 of the IUE-CWA, which represents the hourly paid  
16 employees of Lapp Insulator Company.

17 I appreciate having the opportunity to address you  
18 today to tell you firsthand how imports of high voltage  
19 station posts from Japan have affected the employees in the  
20 domestic industry.

21 I have been an officer of the union for six years  
22 and president since 1999. I have been a full-time employee  
23 of Lapp for 15 years, working in the substation division  
24 where station posts are produced. I am also a life-long  
25 resident of LeRoy where Lapp's plant is located.

1           I can therefore speak to you today not just as a  
2 representative of the union, but also as an employee of the  
3 company and as a member of the community that it supported  
4 for many years.

5           During the past two years, the workforce at Lapp  
6 has born the brunt of Japanese station post imports. At the  
7 start of 2000, shortly after I became president, we were  
8 running 21 shifts per week, and we were discussing changes  
9 in operating procedures to increase output further.

10           In September of 2001, substantial layoffs took  
11 place, bumping of jobs led to loss of wages of up to \$7 per  
12 hours for several workers. Employees were moved to  
13 different shifts, to other jobs. Lifestyles were affected  
14 and morale was at its lowest in years.

15           In August of 2002, the union and company  
16 negotiated a side agreement to our current collective  
17 bargaining agreement to reduce the bumping losses. We went  
18 on a four-day work share program. Fourteen people took  
19 voluntary furloughs, and some departments went on a one-week  
20 per month shutdown. In return the company guaranteed  
21 certain employment levels and no more loss of wages during  
22 the time of the agreement.

23           Through retirement and downsizing, we are losing  
24 senior employees with skilled trades, some with up to 30 to  
25 40 years of experience. Currently with no apprenticeship

1 program in place, and the company is unable to increase its  
2 costs, and not able to hire skilled trades employees to  
3 replacement them.

4 Layoffs has taken us back in seniority to 1997.  
5 Most younger employees with new homes, young families have  
6 lost their jobs. The effects of the community have been  
7 devastating. Lapp is a major employer in Genesee County.  
8 Several employees travel from four neighboring counties to  
9 work there.

10 Often in our union office in the plant, I get  
11 phone calls from members that are laid off, asking about the  
12 conditions of Lapp and telling us that there are no jobs  
13 available in the area that compare with the lifestyle that  
14 Lapp provided them.

15 The union and management of Lapp enjoy a good  
16 relationship. We have tried to work together to address the  
17 problems caused by the Japanese imports. However, we see no  
18 solution to the problem other than measures to stem the flow  
19 of unfairly traded imports.

20 On behalf of my members and their families, I ask  
21 you for your help in protecting us from the effects of these  
22 imports. Our jobs at Lapp mean everything to us.

23 Thank you.

24 MR. SHELDRIK: Thanks, Sam.

25 To conclude the presentation on behalf of the

1 petitioners, we have Richard Boltuck of Charles River  
2 Associates, the economic consultant to the petitioners.

3 MR. BOLTUCK: Thank you, Andy.

4 For the record, my name is Richard Boltuck, Vice  
5 President, Charles River Associates, and I am pleased to  
6 appear this morning before the staff conference on behalf of  
7 the petitioning companies and unions.

8 I have been asked to review the economic issues  
9 bearing on whether imports of certain ceramic insulators  
10 from Japan are materially injuring or threatening the U.S.-  
11 like product industry. Much of the detailed information in  
12 this investigation is confidential because, for example,  
13 there is just a single respondent producer, NGK Insulators,  
14 Limited.

15 But the publicly known facts that I can discuss  
16 confirm the conclusion that imports from Japan are presently  
17 causing and are threatening future material injury.

18 You have heard from Rob Johnson of Lapp, Rick  
19 Stanley of Newell, and Ira Knickerbocker of Victor about the  
20 main characteristics of competition in this market. As you  
21 learned from these witnesses, this investigation covers a  
22 market that features highly commodified products sold at  
23 standard specification where end users regard U.S.-produced  
24 and imported products to be essentially perfectly fungible.

25 Moreover, market demand is inelastic as high and

1 ultra-high voltage ceramic insulators, those with service  
2 class ratings of 115 kilovolts and above have no suitable  
3 and economic substitutes, and constitute only a minor share  
4 of the cost of constructing an electrical substation or more  
5 generally expanding a electrical transmission system.

6 And both imports from Japan and the U.S.-like product are  
7 sold through the same channels of distribution, to the same  
8 utilities and OEM producers increasingly through internet  
9 auctions where price is always the deciding factor.

10           Product fungibility, highly inelastic demand and  
11 direct head-to-head competition through the same channels of  
12 distribution to the same sets of purchasers constitute  
13 conditions of competition conducive to injury when imports  
14 enter the U.S. market in significant volumes at sharply  
15 dumped prices.

16           Against this backdrop, this investigation presents  
17 a classic case of Jacob Biner style dumping and injury. NGK  
18 faces no significant foreign competition in its home market,  
19 protected by idiosyncratic Japanese product standards and  
20 possibly other means, even though U.S. producers sell  
21 successfully elsewhere in East Asia. As a result, NGK's  
22 home market prices are extraordinary high in comparison to  
23 prices around the world, and specifically in comparison to  
24 its export prices to the United States.

25           By virtue of covering its fixed costs in its

1 protected refuge market, NGK is able to export to the United  
2 States at prices at or even below variable cost, prices that  
3 companies such as Lapp, Newell and Victor can't sell at and  
4 survive.

5           And since 2000, NGK has embarked on a U.S.  
6 marketing strategy that exercises this ability to sell in  
7 the United States around variable costs by exporting at  
8 aggressive dumped prices, targeting especially the most  
9 profitable market segment such as high strength and high  
10 leakage products.

11           Predictably, petitioners discovered that NGK's  
12 imports have accounted for a significant and expanding share  
13 of the U.S. market since 2000. NGK's import pricing  
14 strategy designed to buy market shared kicked in over the  
15 past three years, at least the first two of which were years  
16 of periodically strong demand, years during which U.S.  
17 producers should have earned high financial returns that  
18 offset lower returns during years of more demand, years such  
19 as 1999 and before.

20           Beginning in 2000, expansion of electrical systems  
21 across the board responded to the national electricity  
22 shortages that struck California with the greatest severity.  
23 Downstream investment in generation and transmission  
24 capacity contributed significantly to favorable overall  
25 market conditions in the United States market demand.



1 Production costs, however, increased by 2001 as natural gas  
2 prices rose.

3 Yet through the POI prices have actually declined  
4 despite strong demand and rising costs. Why is this?

5 Well, as a result, the petitioning firms have  
6 significantly underperformed the financial returns that they  
7 would have achieved in the absence of competition with huge  
8 volumes of product sold at dumped prices, and this  
9 underperformance is one of the principal manifestations of  
10 current material injury.

11 By 2002, however, significant portions of the U.S.  
12 industry that had sought to follow NGK's import pricing down  
13 and thereby retain historical customers and market share  
14 concluded that continued pursuit of such a strategy would be  
15 suicidal.

16 First, it was impossible to fully meet NGK's price  
17 so U.S. producers were ceding market share anyway. Second,  
18 low pricing was resulting in a hemorrhage of red ink right  
19 in the midst of a strong market when U.S. producers knew  
20 from past experience they had to obtain better financial  
21 performance or cease being viable market participants in the  
22 long run.

23 So in 2002, at least a significant portion of the  
24 industry shifted gears and adopted a damage mitigation  
25 strategy that involved focusing on the most loyal part of

1 the customer base and maintaining somewhat stronger pricing.  
2 This strategy involved sharp short-term cost slashing by  
3 shuttering kilns and sharply reducing PRWs through fewer  
4 shift requirements.

5           You have heard Sam Fili, President to the IUE-CWA,  
6 Local 22485 Union at Lapp, describe the hardships imposed on  
7 Lapp's worker through this process, and the threat of  
8 further layoffs or closure.

9           Although this approach helped the bottom line in  
10 the short run in the face of NGK's continued sale of huge  
11 volumes of dumped products, it placed the continued survival  
12 of much of the industry in question as customers would not  
13 tolerate prices above those changed by NGK for very long.  
14 In effect, by mortgaging the future much of the U.S.  
15 industry achieved somewhat better short-run financial  
16 results in 2002, and thereby live to compete another day.  
17 But even so the industry seriously underperformed what it  
18 would have achieved were it not for dumped imports from  
19 Japan.

20           In addition to financial underperformance, the  
21 shuttering of facilities and reduced use of workers, needed  
22 investments and debottlenecking in modern equipment and  
23 research activities have been cut sharply doing the POI as  
24 U.S. producers could not justify to investors or banks that  
25 those further investments, however much they are needed,

1 would provide adequate paybacks in the future.

2           You have heard about some of these delays and  
3 cancellations of capital projects this morning, and they are  
4 further documented in the petition and U.S. producer  
5 questionnaire responses.

6           Turning to threat, the petitioning companies and  
7 their workers can only see more of the same exacerbated by  
8 NGK's investments in new capacity in India that will likely  
9 be used to produce medium-voltage insulators, thereby  
10 freeing up capacity in Japan for expanded production and  
11 exports.

12           In any event, the conditions that have led to  
13 NGK's aggressive export strategy, including weak home market  
14 demand in Japan, are unlikely to abate any time soon. Since  
15 the injurious impact on the petitioners is cumulative as  
16 financial underperformance and reduced demand for labor  
17 continues and grows and as needed investments continue to go  
18 unmet the magnitude of injury is likely to get far worse in  
19 the next few years.

20           It is for these reasons that the petitioning  
21 companies and the workers in the industry look to the ITC  
22 and the antidumping laws for relief, relief that will enable  
23 investments, fuller use of resources, and profitable sales  
24 and competition with fairly priced imports.

25           I would be placed to respond to any questions at

1 the appropriate time. Thank you.

2 MR. SHELDRIK: Thanks, Richard.

3 Mr. Chairman, that concludes our prepared  
4 presentations, and I think we just about did it within the  
5 allotted 60 minutes, and at this point we would be happy to  
6 take questions from you and the staff.

7 MR. CARPENTER: Did you want to make those an exhibit  
8 to the transcript?

9 MR. SHELDRIK: Sure, yes, if we could. We'll make  
10 those an exhibit to the transcript today.

11 MR. CARPENTER: Do you have an extra set of those  
12 pictures or is that the only ones that you provided?

13 MR. SHELDRIK: Those are the originals, the only  
14 copies.

15 MR. CARPENTER: I'll just ask if we can pass that back  
16 to the Respondents so they can take a look at it and then  
17 I'll ask the Respondents to pass it on to the stenographer  
18 to incorporate into the transcript.

19 (Exhibit 1 was identified and received.)

20 We'll start the staff questions with Mr. Fischer.

21 MR. FISCHER: Thank you all for your testimony this  
22 morning. Fred Fischer, Office of Investigations. I have  
23 several questions so I'll try to be brief.

24 The first question I guess is addressed to Mr. Boltuck  
25 and Mr. Sheldrick. The Commission needs to determine in

1 their analysis the quantity and value of imports that are  
2 coming in from countries other than Japan. The official  
3 statistics, the HTS number is a basket category. It  
4 includes products other than what is part of the scope here.

5 I just wanted to get your thoughts on how we should try  
6 to derive import data for non-subject imports for this  
7 product. If you could address that now, and if you need to  
8 as well in your post-conference brief.

9 MR. BOLTUCK: We'll also look at it in the post-  
10 conference brief. I just have a couple of remarks.

11 First, we provided an estimate of ceram imports based  
12 on information that Lapp and Rob Johnson had acquired. I  
13 think that is from a fairly direct source and reasonably  
14 reliable and he can address that question.

15 The second thing is actually a question. I understand  
16 the Commission had sent out 15 importers questionnaires.  
17 We've only seen a small fraction of that, without getting  
18 into any proprietary information. But I'm not sure if you  
19 at this point expect those responses back. I would assume  
20 they might cover non-subject imports as well.

21 MR. FISCHER: We've gotten back most of the responses.  
22 They'll be shared by our APO process to you I believe early  
23 tomorrow.

24 MR. BOLTUCK: Thank you.

25 MR. FISCHER: I guess what might help us as well, for

1 us to do our own independent analysis of import data, Mr.  
2 Johnson you had mentioned earlier that there are certain  
3 producers around the world that well these products on the  
4 open market and may in fact sell them in the U.S. What may  
5 help us is if you could identify in your post-conference  
6 brief the foreign producer names and locations. By doing  
7 that we can look at imports based just on particular foreign  
8 producers, as well as if you're aware of any particular  
9 importers or countries that should be of concern to us as  
10 far as products similar to the scope coming in from these  
11 other countries. That would be helpful.

12 MR. JOHNSON: I'd be happy to put that together in the  
13 post-conference brief. And directly to your question about  
14 PPC insulators, or as we listed ceram, which was their  
15 former name, we received that information directly from the  
16 company.

17 MR. FISCHER: Mr. Johnson, you had also mentioned a  
18 seven percent surcharge, I believe, in your testimony in  
19 2001 that was put out by your company. I wonder if you  
20 could in your post-conference brief or now give us more  
21 specific information as to how that surcharge was  
22 communicated to customers, how much time they would have had  
23 to respond to that, and then when that surcharge would have  
24 been withdrawn. If you have any documentation to support  
25 that.

1 MR. JOHNSON: Absolutely.

2 MR. FISCHER: As well as Mr. Knickerbocker and Mr.  
3 Stanley, to the extent that this applies to you as well.

4 MR. SHELDRIK: We can compile a cumulative response,  
5 Mr. Fischer, and put that in the post-conference brief.

6 MR. FISCHER: Thank you.

7 I noticed in some of the pictures that are now part of  
8 Exhibit 1 that was passed around, there were large  
9 insulators and then there were smaller insulators that were  
10 stacked. If you could just discuss briefly the differences  
11 or advantages between stacked insulators and large  
12 insulators.

13 MR. JOHNSON: At certain voltages any manufacturer will  
14 require the need to stack insulators. For example, above  
15 230, at least as far as I know the industry, everybody  
16 stacks insulators.

17 Different manufacturing companies have capabilities in  
18 their processes or capacities within their processes that  
19 fit them better based on height of product. So Manufacturer  
20 A, for example, it may be more cost effective for that  
21 manufacturer to make two 50 inch pieces to get to the 100  
22 inches, or two 30 inch pieces to get to 60.

23 As far as the interchangeability into the marketplace,  
24 it doesn't matter to the end user. The end user defines the  
25 product per the ANCI standards and both products would meet

1 the ANCI standards. But that being said, most manufacturers  
2 are moving toward single piece units as they progress toward  
3 more advanced manufacturing techniques.

4 MR. SHELDRIK: I hesitate to add anything of a  
5 technical note, but I believe it's true and my friends will  
6 correct me, that the issue of stacking depends in part upon  
7 the height constraints which the individual manufacturer  
8 has, and that can result from either constraints on the  
9 length of the piece that can be machined; and secondly, the  
10 height of the piece that can pass through the tunnel kiln.  
11 I believe those are the two technical issues that would  
12 affect stacking in any given manufacturer's case.

13 MR. FISCHER: On a similar note, how has the technology  
14 in both the product and the process changed in the last 10  
15 or 15 years? Have there been many changes?

16 MR. JOHNSON: I'll speak for Lapp and what I know in  
17 general about the industry.

18 There's no doubt that the ceramic insulator business is  
19 a mature business. The base technology was invented around  
20 the turn of the century. Of course there's been very  
21 significant improvements in process techniques. Process  
22 techniques that take advantage of current technology,  
23 whether that's CNC equipment to automatically machine the  
24 parts, to sophisticated material handling equipment that  
25 many of us possess to transport the product through the



1 facility and move these very large heavy pieces safely and  
2 efficiently.

3       So much of the technology advancements have improved  
4 cost structures and improved productivity through processing  
5 techniques, taking current technology into account.

6       On the materials side there certainly have been  
7 improvements by our ceramic engineers. I doubt that many  
8 manufacturers haven't made some type of improvement to their  
9 composition either by increasing strength, increasing the  
10 speed at which it can be processed or dried. Certainly Lapp  
11 has made improvements of that sort within the timeframe you  
12 asked.

13       MR. FISCHER: On a similar note, non-ceramic materials,  
14 station post insulators that are made of non-ceramic  
15 materials, do they compete with ceramic? And do any of the  
16 producers here produce non-ceramic station post insulators,  
17 115 kilovolts and above?

18       MR. JOHNSON: They do not directly compete in the  
19 normal application of the product. Lapp does not  
20 manufacture station posts, non-ceramic station posts.

21       MR. KNICKERBOCKER: Victor does not. We do not see  
22 them as competing in our markets.

23       MR. STANLEY: Newell Porcelain does not manufacture a  
24 non-ceramic product.

25       MR. FISCHER: Are you aware of any U.S. producers that

1 produce non-ceramic station post insulators? Transmission  
2 station post insulators 115 kilovolts and above? If you're  
3 aware, if you could just let us know now. If you'd like to  
4 provide a response in your post-conference brief that's  
5 fine.

6 MR. JOHNSON: We'll do both. We are aware of companies  
7 that do produce those again in very small quantities. We  
8 will provide the names in the post-conference.

9 MR. FISCHER: Thank you. A few more questions.

10 Mr. Stanley, you had mentioned that you had refurbished  
11 a kiln and invested a certain amount in it and then closed  
12 it down.

13 To the extent that Mr. Knickerbocker, Mr. Stanley, Mr.  
14 Johnson, you can address the issue of the costs involved and  
15 the time involved in refurbishing and putting a kiln on line  
16 and then also having to take one off line, and to the extent  
17 that you have done that if you can provide -- You may have  
18 done so in your questionnaire response. I haven't had a  
19 chance to look at it. Specific dates and costs and time  
20 lines on starting up and shutting down any kilns during this  
21 period of '99 through September of 2002.

22 MR. STANLEY: I'd be happy to address that in our post-  
23 conference brief. I can say that it was an existing kiln  
24 that had not been operated for probably 20 years, maybe 25  
25 years, that was originally installed by the Ohio Brass

1 Company and we basically took the shell of the kiln and just  
2 put a new burner system on it so we're capable of firing it  
3 higher.

4 MR. SHELDRIK: Mr. Fischer, as I understand it you're  
5 interested in both the specific case that Rick was  
6 mentioning as well the more general discussion of costs and  
7 other issues associated with shutting down and reopening the  
8 kilns.

9 MR. FISCHER: Correct. We would like to have for the  
10 record as much detail as possible on any changes in the  
11 operations from a deployment standpoint as well as from a  
12 production standpoint.

13 MR. SHELDRIK: Understood.

14 MR. FISCHER: Mr. Sheldrick, I guess this question is  
15 addressed to you.

16 Are you aware of any antidumping orders on Japanese  
17 ceramic station post insulators that currently exist around  
18 the world? If you can address it in your post-conference  
19 brief if you're not sure.

20 MR. SHELDRIK: We will look into that again and we  
21 will provide whatever information we can on a post-  
22 conference brief.

23 MR. FISCHER: Thank you.

24 The Commission in their questionnaires are gathering  
25 data through September of last year, 2002, and I just wanted

1 to get your comments on where the market is and has gone, if  
2 it has changed much in the last quarter of 2002 to the  
3 present.

4 MR. JOHNSON: It has not changed significantly. I would  
5 say the third quarter is representative of the fourth  
6 quarter and is representative thus far of 2003. So if you  
7 annualized the 2002 data it would certainly be higher  
8 because the first half was strong, but if you took the third  
9 quarter and doubled it, that would represent the second  
10 half. At least for Lapp.

11 MR. KNICKERBOCKER: For Victor we continued shipping  
12 off of backlog in the third quarter so our numbers look  
13 stronger than what the market really was. Our order entry  
14 dropped significantly and it has maintained a very low level  
15 for the fourth quarter.

16 MR. STANLEY: For Newell I would say that it's very  
17 similar to what Rob and Ira have described. I believe Rob  
18 earlier in his statement made the comment that they're  
19 expecting 2003 to be very similar to 1999. That's our  
20 expectation as well based on the feedback we're getting from  
21 our customers and what we're seeing in the market.

22 MR. FISCHER: My last question for now deals with Lock  
23 Insulators and how they should be treated by the Commission.  
24 Lock is a U.S. producer but there's also an ownership issue,  
25 being owned by a Japanese firm. I wonder if you can address

1 now as well as in your post-conference brief both on a legal  
2 basis and a factual basis how the Commission should address  
3 the issue of Lock Insulators' production, whether or not  
4 their interests primarily lie in U.S. production or whether  
5 they're primarily an importer.

6 If you could address that to the extent you can now as  
7 well as in your brief, that would be appreciated.

8 MR. SHELDRIK: Mr. Fischer, I think that question  
9 ventures into the area of proprietary data which makes it  
10 somewhat difficult to discuss in an open forum, so I think  
11 we would be able to give a better, fuller response in the  
12 post-conference brief.

13 MR. FISCHER: Thank you.

14 No further questions for now.

15 MR. HALDENSTEIN: Mike Haldenstein in the Office of  
16 General counsel.

17 Following up on what Mr. Fischer was asking with  
18 respect to Lock, if you could also address whether, if you  
19 could take a position on whether they should be included in  
20 the domestic industry or possibly excluded, and discuss the  
21 extent to which they've benefitted from importing.

22 Also if you could discuss if possible the reason that  
23 they're importing.

24 MR. SHELDRIK: We'll certainly do so.

25 MR. HALDENSTEIN: Another legal question in this

1 investigation looks like it could be the domestic like  
2 product. Reading the petition it seems that maybe there's a  
3 continuum of insulator products throughout the different  
4 voltages. If you could address why the lower voltage  
5 insulators shouldn't be included in the domestic like  
6 product. This would be for your post-conference brief.

7 MR. SHELDRIK: We'll certainly do so.

8 MR. HALDENSTEIN: And be sure to address the  
9 Commission's domestic like product factors.

10 MR. SHELDRIK: We will.

11 MR. HALDENSTEIN: Also earlier in the testimony I heard  
12 a discussion of the glazed insulators. Maybe this is  
13 something for your post-conference brief as well. If you  
14 could discuss the added cost of producing those insulators,  
15 what that is.

16 If you could clarify what you meant by environmentally  
17 contaminated environments. I wasn't sure what you meant by  
18 that.

19 And something you could address here, would you say  
20 that demand remains strong for this product? Has it been  
21 strong throughout the period of investigation?

22 MR. JOHNSON: You're referring to the special glaze  
23 material?

24 MR. HALDENSTEIN: All products.

25 MR. JOHNSON: The demand has not remained strong, no.

1 The demand has fallen off significantly on incoming orders  
2 for Lapp beginning in the second quarter of 2002 which  
3 manifests itself into slower shipments beginning in the  
4 third and fourth quarter of 2002.

5 MR. BOLTUCK: To clarify the profile, even over this  
6 limited period of investigation that we have in this case,  
7 this industry is subject to fluctuations in demand they've  
8 been important during the period of investigation. As we  
9 all recall there was an energy crisis that made the  
10 headlines back in 2000 and that stimulated a lot of new  
11 investment downstream and created a rather strong market, a  
12 growing market.

13 1999 was a recovery year feeding into that growth.  
14 2000 and 2001 were very strong years extending into the  
15 first, maybe a few weeks into the second quarter of 2002.  
16 The market weakened noticeably by third quarter of 2002  
17 where it remains today.

18 So that's our take on sort of the profile. It's up and  
19 down. Our whole causation argument is really that  
20 regardless of where we have been in these fluctuating demand  
21 conditions we've been under-performing where we should be  
22 given the state of the market.

23 MR. HALDENSTEIN: I have no further questions.

24 MR. CARPENTER: Mr. Thomsen?

25 MR. THOMSEN: Hi there. For the record this is Craig

1 Thomsen, Office of Economics.

2 I guess just a general question, this could be for  
3 anyone. How often do these high voltage station post  
4 insulators need to be replaced?

5 MR. JOHNSON: I think all of us have experiences of  
6 pulling 1920 insulators out because they were worried that  
7 they would begin to fail, but effectively our customers have  
8 the expectation that they last a very long time. There is  
9 no planned obsolescence in the product.

10 If there's a problem in the field dating back 20, 30,  
11 40, 50 years, customers send it back to us and say what  
12 happened? So a very long time.

13 MR. THOMSEN: Even still I know there needs to be some  
14 sort of replacement, say some kiddies throwing rocks at your  
15 insulators, something like that. What percentage of your  
16 sales are new sales and what percentage are replacement  
17 sales?

18 MR. JOHNSON: I don't have those numbers with me but  
19 we'd be happy to dig into those at the post-conference  
20 brief. In the last couple of years it's been largely new  
21 projects. In the normal market levels there is more  
22 maintenance and replacement. So we can clarify that.

23 MR. THOMSEN: Okay.

24 MR. STANLEY: This is Rick Stanley. I'd like to add  
25 one thing to that. I think that part of what we're seeing



1 in the domestic market right now is change-out due to the  
2 system outgrowing the voltage capability. So there may be  
3 cases where good insulators are taken out of service so that  
4 the line can be upgraded. That plays back to the  
5 interchangeability that, typically you can't replace the  
6 medium voltage with the ultra high. You have to do a  
7 change-out to meet the electrical and mechanical  
8 requirements of the product.

9 MR. THOMSEN: Okay. That's very helpful. Thank you.

10 Relatedly, there has been a little bit of talk of the  
11 non-ceramic station posts. What percentage of the market  
12 would be covered by the non-ceramic? You noted there is a  
13 producer of non-ceramic high voltage station post  
14 insulators.

15 MR. SHELDRIK: We believe the market, and my friends  
16 will correct me, is one percent or less for this product  
17 category.

18 MR. THOMSEN: Has it been growing? And if so, how  
19 quickly?

20 MR. JOHNSON: Not very much and not very quick.  
21 They're much more expensive and they're really special  
22 application type products at this point.

23 MR. BOLTUCK: I would just add that we don't really  
24 think of it as the same market. You can do the arithmetic  
25 calculation and say it's less than one percent, but from an

1 economic standpoint it's not really the same market. People  
2 who buy the polymer type products, for instance, have  
3 special needs for that material and wouldn't otherwise pay  
4 the immense premiums involved in polymer-type products. So  
5 it really separates them.

6 MR. THOMSEN: What type of premiums are they?

7 MR. JOHNSON: The limited market data and the feedback  
8 we have says that they're 60 percent to 100 percent higher.

9 MR. THOMSEN: Also going along the lines of what these  
10 are used for, what type of products do the OEMs use the high  
11 voltage station posts for? We have seen them in  
12 substations, but you've noted that some of your sales in the  
13 industry are to OEMs. What type of products would we see  
14 these in?

15 MR. STANLEY: The OEM customers that we deal with use  
16 our product in the development of their equipment. They  
17 manufacture, in particular the switch manufacturers,  
18 manufacture product that gives the utility an opportunity to  
19 open the switch and take a portion of the line or the  
20 substation out of commission so that they can do work.

21 The insulators are used in the operation of the switch  
22 and the switch is used to open, close and divert electricity  
23 to where it's needed through that process.

24 MR. JOHNSON: Some of the pictures you saw actually  
25 were switches that were in a substation, that the insulators

1 were probably provided to the switch manufacturer which put  
2 it into the substation alongside the other insulators.

3 MR. THOMSEN: So these station post insulators are used  
4 in conjunction with other types of materials, other types of  
5 electrical equipment in there. You had noted in your  
6 testimony that it's a small portion of it. I believe it was  
7 Mr. Boltuck who said that.

8 Do you have any kind of estimate on say a substation,  
9 what percentage are we talking about? Are we talking five  
10 percent? Are we talking .01 percent?

11 MR. JOHNSON: We estimate for a nominal size substation  
12 less than five percent.

13 MR. THOMSEN: How about for generation? Would it be  
14 even smaller then?

15 MR. JOHNSON: Yeah. If you're including the cost of  
16 the generation plant, it begins to become very  
17 insignificant.

18 MR. THOMSEN: We've also heard about what's happening  
19 in demand in the United States, but we've also heard that  
20 you're exporting to about 50-odd countries. What's been  
21 happening for demand in station post insulators throughout  
22 the rest of the world? Canada and Mexico, obviously those  
23 are the closest, but also you noted that NGK is opening up  
24 some plants around the world. What's been happening around  
25 the world?

1           MR. JOHNSON: Canada has been relatively flat for many  
2 years. Quebec has been a little bit more active but the  
3 majority of Canada has been very slow.

4           Mexico has demand but they have not released funds for  
5 the majority of their projects. So when the money gets  
6 released then there's projects in Mexico. In the past two  
7 years or so there's not been a lot of money released but  
8 there has been some business.

9           South America, Brazil has had a very strong market but  
10 it's served by its domestic manufacturer. Other regions of  
11 South America we followed the economies. They're either  
12 every small markets or their economies certainly are not in  
13 a position to expand significantly today in the substation  
14 arena.

15          There's business, don't get me wrong, but it's not a  
16 sustainable amount of business for any of the domestic  
17 manufacturers to count on so to speak as large volumes.

18          In Europe it's largely supplied by domestic  
19 manufacturers. There are opportunities that are  
20 occasionally achieved.

21          The same in the Middle East, the demand is largely  
22 provided by European companies, as well as NGK. Again, we  
23 do participate in the Middle East on occasion. It's a big  
24 market and there is a lot of activity in the Middle East.

25          MR. THOMSEN: When you say a lot of activity does that

1 mean the market is growing, the market is shrinking?

2 MR. JOHNSON: The market is growing.

3 MR. THOMSEN: And that's for Europe, for the Middle  
4 East --

5 MR. JOHNSON: It's not growing in Europe, maybe nominal  
6 growth. One percent, something like that. The Middle East  
7 is growing. Certainly not double digits but three, four,  
8 five percent.

9 Really the biggest opportunities in the world markets  
10 are in the Far East. There's some double digit growth in  
11 China specifically.

12 MR. THOMSEN: Switching gears a little bit, you noted  
13 in the petition about blanket agreements. Just in terms of  
14 sales arrangements, what does a blanket agreement  
15 necessarily provide? Is it pricing, is it quantities, is it  
16 minimum quantity? What can we see in a blanket agreement?

17 MR. KNICKERBOCKER: Typically the blanket agreement  
18 would set prices for a fixed period of time with typical  
19 quantities that would be expected and an expected lead time  
20 for delivery, typically again short.

21 The blanket agreements are not hard and fast contracts.  
22 When you have a blanket agreement with a utility company, if  
23 there is subsequent offers for lower prices typically we  
24 have not found that the utilities will come back and ask us  
25 to follow suit.

1           On the other hand with OEMs, as soon as some competitor  
2 goes back in during the period of a blanket contract and  
3 offers a lowered price of any significance, the customer is  
4 back at the doorstep of the blanket holder expecting and  
5 receiving the lowered prices.

6           MR. THOMSEN: You'd also noted there are a certain  
7 percentage or a certain amount of sales that go through  
8 sales agents and I believe Lapp had provided some numbers on  
9 that. I was wondering if in a post-conference brief whether  
10 both Newell and Victor would be able to provide those types  
11 of numbers.

12          Also relatedly, the commission rate for sales agents  
13 for both of the other producers.

14          I believe that is all the questions that I have.

15          Yes, Mr. Stanley?

16          MR. STANLEY: Mr. Thomsen, I'd like that question you  
17 just asked about the sales agents, I'd just like to note  
18 that we will respond to that. But other than just a couple  
19 of house accounts, our company deals exclusively through  
20 sales agents throughout the country.

21          MR. KNICKERBOCKER: That's also the same for us and  
22 we'll be glad to provide details in the post-conference  
23 brief.

24          MR. THOMSEN: Wonderful. Thank you.

25          I have no further questions.

1 MR. CARPENTER: Mr. Boyland?

2 MR. BOYLAND: I have reviewed the financial information  
3 submitted. Since it's company specific I do have follow-ups  
4 which I'll be phoning the various individuals as a kind of a  
5 blanket request to all the respondents.

6 I would like to see the internal profit and loss  
7 statements for the activity which includes subject  
8 merchandise, post-conference briefs.

9 I have no further questions.

10 MR. CARPENTER: Mr. Cutchin?

11 MR. CUTCHIN: I don't have any questions at this time.  
12 Thanks.

13 MR. CARPENTER: Mr. McClure?

14 MR. MCCLURE: Jim McClure, Office of Investigations.  
15 All this to say that other than to observe that there may  
16 soon be a growth market in downtown Baghdad, I have no  
17 questions.

18 I would advise Mr. Sheldrick that you have seven  
19 minutes of time left.

20 MR. SHELDRIK: Can we hold that over to the rebuttal,  
21 or is it a lose it or use it now?

22 MR. CARPENTER: Actually in conferences we don't hold  
23 it over. Everyone gets ten minutes for closing and rebuttal  
24 statements regardless of any time that you had remaining.

25 MR. SHELDRIK: I think I'd simply add than, in

1 conclusion at this stage, that you will see that the three  
2 companies here are somewhat different. This is not a case  
3 where you have three producers who are all manufacturing  
4 exactly the same way with the same product mix. These are  
5 companies with, in some respects, different marketing  
6 strategies.

7 But I think the one thing that they have in common  
8 which I think came out of the remarks and the questions is  
9 they're all facing fundamentally the same problem now. They  
10 are facing a period of a substantial market downturn. At the  
11 same time the prices have been very substantially depressed.  
12 They've not had the benefit of being able to earn a  
13 reasonable return during the past few years when demand has  
14 been strong. So they now find themselves at an extremely  
15 vulnerable point, very vulnerable to the effects of  
16 increased dumping.

17 I think with that we will conclude our presentation.  
18 Thank you very much Mr. Chairman, members of the staff.

19 MR. CARPENTER: Thank you. I do have a few follow-up  
20 questions.

21 MR. SHELDRIK: Oh, okay. I'm sorry. I didn't mean to  
22 cut you off.

23 MR. CARPENTER: First of all a couple of product-  
24 related questions. Just for clarification, do the  
25 petitioners who are represented here also make the medium



1 voltage ceramic post insulators?

2 MR. KNICKERBOCKER: Victor Insulator does, a full line.

3 MR. STANLEY: Newell does, yes.

4 MR. JOHNSON: Yes.

5 MR. CARPENTER: Okay, all three of you do.

6 Do you make them in the same plants and on the same  
7 equipment and with the same employees as the high voltage?

8 MR. STANLEY: For the most part, yes. We use the same  
9 plant, the same employees. Some of the equipment is -- Some  
10 equipment is used just for the medium voltage, but some of  
11 it can be used in both processes.

12 MR. JOHNSON: Of the highest volume designs there's  
13 about six that represent 50 or 60 percent of that market.  
14 Three of those we manufacture in a different plant, and  
15 three of those we manufacture in the same plant. Some of  
16 the equipment's the same. The clay-making systems, the  
17 kilns. However, the machining equipment is significantly  
18 different.

19 MR. KNICKERBOCKER: For us also the clay-making  
20 equipment is identical. The kilns are different. We have a  
21 break in our turning operation that starts at about 46 KV.  
22 So 46 KV, 69 KV. We can use some of the same equipment as  
23 we use for 115 KV and above. Anything below 46 KV is made  
24 on totally other equipment.

25 The new equipment we installed is used exclusively for

1 115 KV and above. We do try to use employees throughout the  
2 plant. They are interchangeable.

3 MR. CARPENTER: I understand that the IEEE standard  
4 does not call for any voltages between 69 and 115. I was  
5 wondering is there any production in the U.S. for export to  
6 other markets or production overseas that might fall within  
7 those parameters?

8 MR. JOHNSON: Nothing substantial, no.

9 MR. CARPENTER: Okay.

10 The petition mentions that there are three basic groups  
11 of insulators -- station posts, suspension bells, and line  
12 posts. I was wondering if you could tell us a little bit  
13 about what the suspension bells and line posts are used for  
14 and whether they can ever be used in the same applications  
15 as the station posts.

16 MR. JOHNSON: If you had the pictures in front of you  
17 you would see a product that looks like a series of bells  
18 that are strung together which are effective products that  
19 are in tension. They support transmission lines. Really,  
20 there's no common application between suspension bells in  
21 the domestic market and station posts.

22 Line posts are products that are typically mounted, in  
23 higher voltages mounted horizontally on a pole to support,  
24 again, transmission lines. Again, no similar application to  
25 station posts which are almost always mounted vertically or

1 at some angle, maybe a 45 degree angle inside of a switch.

2 I'm sorry, what was the third?

3 MR. CARPENTER: Those were the two. The station posts  
4 were the third.

5 MR. JOHNSON: There is another one which is called  
6 apparatus housings which is a product that's hollow and some  
7 type of device goes on the inside. Whether that's a bushing  
8 or a circuit breaker or some other device, transformer.  
9 Again there's no common application of that product.

10 MR. SHELDRIK: Robert, are the pictures that have been  
11 submitted marked to show the type of insulator in question?

12 MR. JOHNSON: No they're not at this time.

13 MR. SHELDRIK: What I think might be useful is we can  
14 identify on each of the pictures that we have put into the  
15 record the type of insulator involved. Some of the pictures  
16 were actually put in to demonstrate the difference between  
17 the size and design of station posts versus suspension  
18 valves, so we'd be happy to mark those individual pictures  
19 in some way to indicate exactly what it is the picture  
20 shows.

21 MR. CARPENTER: What I would ask then is if you could  
22 just take those back with you and label them, and then you  
23 can submit them as an exhibit to your post-conference brief.

24 (Exhibit 1 will be withdrawn and resubmitted with the  
25 post-conference brief.)

1 MR. SHELDRIK: That's fine. We'll certainly do so.

2 MR. CARPENTER: Another clarification question related  
3 to the petition. As I read it, you're talking about three  
4 channels of distribution -- sales to electric utilities  
5 which you say currently account for about 40 percent of  
6 domestic sales; sales to packagers account for roughly 40 to  
7 50; and sales to OEMs account for the remaining 40 which  
8 adds up to about 120 to 130. I assume there's --

9 MR. SHELDRIK: We will correct those numbers. That's  
10 a typographical error for which I apologize.

11 MR. CARPENTER: I assumed that. I just wondered which  
12 one was the --

13 MR. SHELDRIK: We'll submit the correct numbers in the  
14 post-hearing brief.

15 MR. CARPENTER: Thank you.

16 There's also, in the discussion of value-based  
17 indicators versus quantity-based market shares there's a  
18 mention that the Japanese product is lighter in weight for  
19 the same strength and performance compared with functionally  
20 identical domestic products. I was wondering if that  
21 provides any advantage to the imported product in terms of  
22 ease of installation or maintenance?

23 MR. SHELDRIK: I believe, and I'll defer to my  
24 colleagues, but these units we're talking about are  
25 typically installed by cranes. They're not the kind of

1 things that a man would climb up a pole and put in place.

2 So I don't think the weight is such an issue, but I'll --

3 MR. JOHNSON: That's correct. In the installation of  
4 the products in the field there's really no significant  
5 advantage of it being lighter. Again, no man is going to  
6 pick it up or woman is going to pick up that device anyway.

7 MR. CARPENTER: Not at 1200 pounds I guess.

8 A couple of related questions on demand also, following  
9 after Mr. Thomsen's questions.

10 First of all I believe Mr. Johnson, you said that  
11 demand dropped off in the second half of 2002. Could you  
12 again just describe what the causes were that led to that  
13 drop off in demand?

14 MR. JOHNSON: Absolutely.

15 Really the impacts are fairly well chronicled in some  
16 of the data you can get through FERKER, various press  
17 releases. But really the start of the pull back in demand  
18 of the markets kind of came out of what in the industry we  
19 call the Enron effect which was the beginning of very high  
20 scrutiny of the investments that companies like Enron,  
21 Kelpine Dynogy, others were making into the wielding of  
22 power and the trading of power to the industry.

23 As some of those issues came to bear and came to light,  
24 the credit markets started to tighten up in the utility  
25 industry and banks and other financiers were not interested

1 in continuing to invest in that market space. So really as  
2 an outcome of that source of income and investment for the  
3 utilities, projects began to be delayed and canceled, or  
4 again delayed into several years out in many cases.

5 One of the outcomes of that continued to be the  
6 financial troubles that we're seeing many utilities go  
7 through which again has caused them to contract their  
8 spending. As well as the recession. The general recession  
9 and slow-down in industrial production has caused a decrease  
10 in demand. So really there's about three hits all at the  
11 same time that started showing the real strong weakness in  
12 incoming orders in the second quarter of 2002.

13 MR. CARPENTER: What about the economy in general or  
14 new construction? Does that play a role in the long-term  
15 demand for products like this?

16 MR. JOHNSON: It does. We certainly see a lag in the  
17 economic growth to the installation of significant amounts  
18 of power, at least from the generation and transmission  
19 side. If you have a significant increase in industrial  
20 production next week we don't have a bunch of insulator  
21 orders. But indeed as the gap between supply and capacity  
22 or demand and capacity at the utilities closes down, the  
23 utility engineers start getting a little bit nervous when  
24 that approaches about 10 percent. When that gap gets to  
25 about 10 percent you run into things like peak usage

1   blackouts. For example, hot summer days where there will be  
2   a blackout, so there tends to be a push for investment at  
3   that time period.

4           But you need a lot of demand to start causing the need  
5   for purchase.

6           MR. CARPENTER: When you have the energy shortages and  
7   the blackouts, what kind of a lag is there between those  
8   occurrences and when there's increased installation of these  
9   insulators?

10          MR. JOHNSON: Quite often that's impacted largely by  
11   where it happened and who's in office at the time, political  
12   office. For example in Chicago Mayor Daly instantly  
13   increased funds for the restructuring of Commonwealth  
14   Edison's infrastructure. In California it took a lot more  
15   time. I think they clearly regretted that.

16          So it depends on everything from the regional  
17   politicians to available funds of the utilities so there's  
18   quite a variety of things that can impact that.

19          MR. CARPENTER: You mentioned there's no planned  
20   obsolescence in these products, but is it true that over  
21   time there's increased voltages being generated,  
22   transmitted, and distributed, and that requires higher  
23   capacity insulators to be installed?

24          MR. JOHNSON: To Rick's point, which is a very good  
25   one, that does happen. But more than the insulator is

1 changed out in that case. If you, it's called up-fit a  
2 substation, you're changing out very expensive equipment  
3 like transformers, circuit breakers, the cable quite often.  
4 So it's a source of increased capacity that a utility will  
5 put into place, trying to take advantage of the right of  
6 ways that they have for the existing substation. Because  
7 quite often the largest cost component of the a substation  
8 can be right of way or transmission line.

9 MR. CARPENTER: Thank you very much for your testimony.

10

11 Do any others have questions?

12 (No audible response)

13 MR. CARPENTER: We'll take a recess until about 11:22  
14 on the clock back there.

15 MR. SHELDRIK: Thank you very much.

16 (Whereupon, a brief recess was taken.)

17 MR. CARPENTER: Whenever you're ready.

18 MR. CASSIDY: Good morning. I'm Robert C. Cassidy, Jr.  
19 I'm a partner with Wilmer, Cutler & Pickering, and I'm  
20 appearing before you this morning on behalf of Lock  
21 Insulators, Inc., and NGK Insulators, Ltd., Japan.

22 I'm accompanied this morning by Mr. John Dippold who is  
23 Vice President, Operations Manager for Lock Insulators, Inc.  
24 And I'd also like to introduce to you Mr. Jack Hiroma who is  
25 with the NGK Insulators, Ltd., Power Business Group in



1 Japan.

2 We will start this morning with a statement by Mr.  
3 Dippold. I then have a few words to say and then we'll be  
4 available to answer your questions.

5 MR. DIPPOLD: Good morning. My name is John Dippold.  
6 I'm the Vice President and Operations Manager of Lock  
7 Insulators. This is my second time to be employed by Lock.  
8 From '80 to '82 I was an engineer serving in a technical  
9 capacity at Lock. I returned to Lock in '91 filling various  
10 positions, technical and managerial, until 1991 when I  
11 filled this position. In between those two times I fill  
12 other positions in other companies that are not related to  
13 this industry.

14 What I want to do today is address this issue that's  
15 been raised about Lock and NGK dumping insulators on the  
16 U.S. market for the purpose of eliminating domestic  
17 competition.

18 To do that I have three parts. I want to tell you  
19 about Lock, briefly its long-term history and what it's done  
20 recently. I'm going to give you Lock's view of the  
21 marketplace. And then the third thing would be to relate  
22 those two. How can we meet Lock's goals and the needs of  
23 the marketplace at the same time?

24 Lock is an old company. It's over 100 years old. It  
25 started in New York, as previous testimony was given. It

1 moved to Baltimore in the early '20s. The facility was  
2 built to manufacture insulators as it does today.

3 GE had assumed ownership very early on in its life and  
4 continued to own Lock until 1974 when NGK acquired 60  
5 percent ownership. Through the years NGK gradually invested  
6 in the company until it owned the company completely in  
7 1989.

8 The '90s were not a good period for Lock. At that time  
9 coming into the '90s they made three product lines --  
10 suspension, a hollow core apparatus, and station posts. In  
11 1995 they were forced to eliminate the suspension insulator  
12 product line due to competition from lower cost polymer  
13 insulators.

14 Lock continued to manufacture two product lines until  
15 1999 when through analysis it concluded that it could not  
16 justify the investment and the necessary time and effort  
17 from all of its employees to make apparatus more profitable.

18 With that analysis that went on for an extended period  
19 of time it was also realized that by focusing on posts, Lock  
20 had the potential for a great many benefits. With those two  
21 conclusions it made a plan. It made a plan to eliminate the  
22 production of apparatus insulators and to focus on station  
23 post insulators. That plan had several aspects.

24 One was that lower cost was of paramount importance.  
25 The goal would be to become the lowest cost producer and we

1 set out many projects that wrapped around the fact that we  
2 had through the '90s eliminated two product lines and had a  
3 good asset of the facility and that we could start again and  
4 essentially wipe the slate clean and start with the new  
5 design of a facility using the assets that we have in place  
6 to become very productive and lower costs.

7 Another goal was to reduce lead time. This is very  
8 important in the marketplace. So knowing we would be better  
9 placed within the market if we had lower costs and reduced  
10 lead times, we engaged that concept and set out on the  
11 project.

12 In 1999 we essentially wiped the plant clean. We  
13 removed all the equipment, or virtually all the equipment  
14 that stood in the way of our plans to increase capacity to  
15 focus on station posts, and to lower costs.

16 Basically what did we do to do this? To lower costs  
17 there were four aspects. We had to improve productivity.  
18 Early, before 2000 in the late '90s we had developed a  
19 productivity improvement program focusing on direct labor at  
20 that time. We instituted that and an increased focus on  
21 that and received benefit through the years 2000, 2001 and  
22 today.

23 Today we're transiting that to more focus on indirect  
24 labor, but still we deal with direct labor.

25 Items such as training was a source of focus because it

1 allowed us to acquire new people, and certainly that fit in  
2 with our plans because another aspect of our plan was to  
3 increase capacity. That required that we hire people.  
4 We've increased employment significantly during this period  
5 starting in 2000.

6 With capacity, you can envision that we had a plant  
7 that had three different product lines and they comprised  
8 approximately one-third of our sales volume and  
9 approximately equal employment. This was in a very large  
10 plant. We had to fill this large plant with other  
11 production, in this case station posts, to make it viable,  
12 to make it efficient.

13 With that effort we had to rapidly train people. We  
14 had to engage them to be productive and to get them to use,  
15 to acquire the skills necessary to do the job.

16 Previously and through '98 and '99 we had been  
17 increasing the facility, the capital equipment for the  
18 manufacture of station posts and with that plan we had to  
19 rapidly increase it to satisfy the needs.

20 Basically through that period from 1999 through into  
21 2002 we almost doubled the capacity of manufacturing for  
22 greater than 69 KV.

23 Another thing that we focused on was yield. With any  
24 porcelain product, and particularly with porcelain  
25 insulators, yield is very important. If you have low yield

1 you have short lead times or you disappointed customers with  
2 deliveries because the products they have ordered didn't  
3 turn out to be good and they're not shippable. Also it  
4 increases costs.

5 Also we had engaged in many projects that we planned in  
6 '99 and in 2000 to improve the cost of manufacturing  
7 insulators. We've reduced costs in every aspect of raw  
8 materials which would be clay, the end fittings, the  
9 hardware that attach to the insulators, the packaging.  
10 Natural gas was a big concern. We had been active in the  
11 futures markets and we controlled gas well through that  
12 period.

13 Many efforts all hinged around the fact that we made a  
14 plan in '99 to focus on posts and become the low cost  
15 producer.

16 We also sought to satisfy the needs of the factory,  
17 that we wanted to increase capacity. We set out and  
18 developed more products. We had to expand the capability of  
19 our production unit.

20 We increased the strength capacity of our 500 KV  
21 product line. That's a lucrative product. And because  
22 we're able to make that in Baltimore we can fill more of our  
23 capacity and gain more sales.

24 Also the semi-conductive insulators, we had been making  
25 them starting in about the mid '90s but we focused on that

1 and dealt with the high cost issues that we had with  
2 manufacturing semi-conductive insulators and we reduced the  
3 cost and became a much more reliable producer of  
4 semiconductive insulators.

5 In fact we were through this period of discussion were  
6 the sole -- all insulators sold were manufactured in  
7 Baltimore.

8 Today we have benefitted from this effort. We have  
9 become profitable. We were profitable in 2000 and we've  
10 increased our profit in each year. We've increased our  
11 employment in each year through this period.

12 Today we recognize the market, and that's what I'll  
13 discuss next.

14 MR. DIPPOLD: Obviously, something has been made for  
15 over 100 years and there's not many innovations and my  
16 personal experience, have not seen innovations as a product.  
17 Quality is not so much of an issue. It's important for all  
18 manufacturers to focus on quality, because customers once  
19 disappointed are hard to get back. But, generally, if you  
20 meet the spec, it's price and delivery. It's typical, from  
21 my experience, of a very mature market and that's why we  
22 focus on price and delivery.

23 We see three customers: the OEM, the original  
24 equipment manufacturer; the utility; and the packager. We  
25 see a distinction between them.

1           The OEM, mostly to Lock, they purchase on blanket.  
2 And a blanket is a contract. It fixes the price for the  
3 general items that they purchase. It does not fix the  
4 quantity that they're require to buy, nor does it fix our  
5 lead times. It's simply a price fix. It does not require  
6 that the customer come to us exclusively. Generally, the  
7 view is that they know they have a good price with us and  
8 they'll come to us and buy from us. If we don't give them  
9 the delivery time, then they are essentially free to go  
10 elsewhere.

11           Now, that is something that's -- it's been working  
12 for Lock for some time and while it doesn't fix quantity, it  
13 gives us responsibility to satisfy their needs. So, we, no  
14 doubt, take some of our capacity to it, it at least gets  
15 them to know what price we have. It's a structured contract  
16 or relationship for that time period, usually one year.

17           Utilities and the packagers -- a packager is  
18 essentially a service company. They provide engineering and  
19 they'll provide some procurement services for a utility. A  
20 substation would be a good example; that they would design  
21 the substation, determine what is to be purchased, go out,  
22 get the prices, and get commitments from companies to buy  
23 it. Then the utility then finances the -- or funds the  
24 endeavor; in this case, to build the substation. They'll  
25 get the contractor. They may have a strong relationship

1 with a contractor and they'll bring the materials in, build  
2 it to the design.

3           We want those two together mostly -- it's not  
4 exclusively -- but mostly they buy on the spot market. So  
5 during a period of where price declines, prices may decline  
6 more quickly or likely to decline more quickly, because they  
7 work in the spot market. They don't have that one-year  
8 blanket.

9           The period of discussion, the demand served,  
10 price. We do view, during this period, that the price  
11 continued to decline. But, in fact, the price decline  
12 started before 2000. It started before this period that I'm  
13 going to discuss, and that there was no clear leader in this  
14 price decline. In addition to Lock, there are three  
15 domestic suppliers, as well as Ceram. And then on any given  
16 order, we cannot predict who will receive that order. We  
17 see that all five members or all five suppliers participated  
18 in the price decline equally. They simply had to lower the  
19 price, if they felt they needed that job, and they had to  
20 compete equally in the marketplace.

21           The other thing that's important with the station  
22 post insulators is delivery time, and that is something  
23 that's also become a competitive objective of the customer.  
24 They want to reduce the lead time. Frankly, it does take a  
25 long time. If you buy a porcelain station post insulator



1 and it's made to order, depending upon the backlog and the  
2 process time, it can take two to three to four months to  
3 deliver. Process times for all manufacturers is months,  
4 several months, two months approximately.

5           Ceram is one that has an advantage, in that they -  
6 - at least one facility isostatically presses and if they're  
7 supplying station post insulators from that plant, they can  
8 shorten their lead time some. But the others are all  
9 required essentially to exclude, to finish, to dry, to  
10 glaze, and to fire. And they are lengthy processes in each  
11 step. Some of those steps can take weeks or days at a time.

12           I'd like to talk about the market, in general.

13           MR. CASSIDY: For the record, there's an exhibit.

14           MR. CARPENTER: Okay, we will accept this as  
15 Respondent's Conference Exhibit 1. It's a chart that's  
16 based on NEMA Station Post Sales date for the years 1978  
17 through January through November of 2002.

18                               (The document referred to was  
19                               marked for identification as  
20                               Respondent's Conference  
21                               Exhibit 1 and was received in  
22                               evidence.)

23           MR. DIPPOLD: And basically on this chart, there  
24 are two points I want to make. One is, it does demonstrate  
25 that there was a surge in the market. And we feel, not

1 unlike the previous testimony, it was due to the increase  
2 demand due to power generation increase, financing of power  
3 generators. Independent power producers were buying  
4 generators and station post insulators are a required  
5 material.

6 But, we say that there's no apparent cycle with  
7 this. The previous demand was 1989 and 1990. That was due  
8 to an expansion in transmission line construction. So, we  
9 don't see that there's a cycle. We think that you can  
10 predict somewhat. There is a slope, but you don't know and  
11 we can't see what the magnitude was -- or we didn't know or  
12 couldn't tell the magnitude of the demand or that it was  
13 going to increase essentially in 2001 to a record level.

14 We, also, see that the demand surge is over, that  
15 it did indeed start to decline in the third quarter of last  
16 year; but, that, you know, it's not a valley. It's not down  
17 to a dangerous level, at this point.

18 So, I discussed Lock and I've discussed our view  
19 of the market. I'd like to discuss how we're going to put  
20 those two together.

21 Lock, we increased capacity. We took out the  
22 product lines. We had to increase capacity of station posts  
23 to become a profitable -- not to become a profitable  
24 operation, but to become the profitable operation that we  
25 are today. It's very important, our capacity.

1           And when this market boom occurred, we needed to  
2 expand more rapidly than we anticipated. We did not see it  
3 happening this quickly. And while we had plans in place, we  
4 had some of the equipment. We had to build more equipment.  
5 We had to make changes. We had to hire people and we had to  
6 train them and bring them on line. But the problem was, we  
7 couldn't do it quickly enough. We had a plan that required  
8 us to increase capacity and we had to make sure that we  
9 could fill that capacity.

10           So when we concluded that we could not expand  
11 quickly enough, we called on NGK to help us secure and  
12 maintain these customers. We needed to maintain this base,  
13 so that when demand surge passed, we still had a good base  
14 to satisfy our capacity. If Lock could not serve the  
15 customer fully, we would lose them and they are very hard to  
16 get them to come back, to get them to return to Lock.  
17 Essentially, we treated the insulators that we purchased  
18 from NGK as the same as we purchased -- that we make at  
19 Lock, and we filled orders indiscriminately with the supply.

20           So, in summary, because Lock has increased its  
21 capacity and because the demand has been reduced, we are not  
22 placing any new orders to Japan. That is our status today.  
23 The last order to supplement capacity was placed in October.  
24 That will be delivered in April. So for the insulators that  
25 we're discussing, the high volt and ultra extra voltage

1 insulators, we're finished using NGK insulators.

2           We have reduced cost. We have reduced lead time.  
3 This led to our success. We have a good employment base  
4 that we've increased over the past three years and we're  
5 continuing to work hard to maintain that. Just to  
6 reiterate, we've made profit every year -- an increasing  
7 profit I should say, every year and during this period. And  
8 this is done with insulators made in Baltimore. And we're  
9 prepared to sell at the prices and make money at the prices  
10 that are set by the marketplace today.

11           That's it. Thank you.

12           MR. CASSIDY: Mr. Chairman, this is a case about  
13 domestic competition. Lapp Insulators and Lock Insulators  
14 have been competing with each other in the U.S. market for  
15 almost 90 years. That competition has taken a strange  
16 twist, which is why we are here today.

17           We've heard this morning that Lapp is having  
18 problem and the confidential information on the record  
19 offers some insight into the cause of these problems, which  
20 we will talk about in our post-conference brief. Publically  
21 available information suggests that Lapp may have imposed a  
22 substantial financial burden on itself by its 1998 leverage  
23 buyout and its 2001 acquisition of Ceram Tech, a European  
24 insulator producer.

25           Whatever the real cause of Lapp's problems may be,

1 it is clear that Lapp has misunderstood what is going on in  
2 the U.S. market for station post insulators. And based on  
3 this misunderstanding, Lapp is attempting to solve its  
4 problems with an antidumping case. Needless to say, the  
5 dumping statute cannot protect one domestic producer from  
6 competition with another domestic producer in the U.S.  
7 market. For this reason, even if a dumping order were to be  
8 imposed in this case, that order would have absolutely no  
9 affect on the conditions of competition in the U.S. market  
10 about which Lapp is complaining.

11           What are those conditions? Fierce competition  
12 among four producers, domestic producers; two major offshore  
13 producers: the American-owned Ceram in Europe and NGK in  
14 Japan; and several new exporters in Brazil, India, Germany,  
15 et cetera.

16           As we have heard this morning, Newell and Victor  
17 increased their production capacity during the POI and Lock,  
18 which was already much larger than Newell and Victor, has  
19 substantially increased its production capacity for station  
20 post insulators and has reduced its cost and its time to  
21 delivery. As a result, in the case of Lock, it has improved  
22 its financial performance and increased its market share  
23 without aggressive pricing.

24           And on this point, I suggest you take a careful  
25 look at the pricing information that is on the record and

1 that we will add to the record, because we believe it  
2 confirms the fact that there is no consistent low price  
3 seller in the U.S. market. Different companies offer the  
4 lowest price at different times and on different products  
5 with the result that no one knows who will win any given  
6 sale for a given product.

7           Another consequence of Lock's improvements in its  
8 production capabilities is that it will no longer import  
9 from Japan any station post insulators it can make in  
10 Baltimore. Lock placed its last orders for station post  
11 insulators with NGK last fall, long before this case was  
12 filed, and the last shipments will arrive in Baltimore in  
13 April of this year. This means one offshore supplier, NGK,  
14 will no longer be in the station post market in the United  
15 States. And I should note that the theories of Jacob Biner  
16 notwithstanding, it is public information in Japan that NGK  
17 is considering reducing its production capacity in Japan.

18           Given the increased capacity of Lock in Baltimore  
19 and the availability of station post insulators from  
20 suppliers like Ceram in Europe, the absence of NGK will have  
21 no affect on conditions of competition in the U.S. market,  
22 as far as we can make out.

23           From Lapp's perspective, this case is an exercise  
24 in futility. If a dumping order were to be imposed, it  
25 would have no impact whatsoever on competition in the U.S.

1 market and would not generate any Byrd amendment payments.

2 From the Commission's perspective, this is a case  
3 in which you should make a negative determination. The  
4 price decline Lapp complains about started long before  
5 Japanese imports increased and there is no evidence that  
6 Japanese imports are underselling or otherwise suppressing  
7 price levels in the U.S. market.

8 As to threat of injury, that is a no-brainer.  
9 When the only exporter stops taking orders for the U.S.  
10 market before a dumping case starts, there can be no threat  
11 of injury, it seems to us. And with that, we would like to  
12 conclude and answer any questions you may have.

13 MR. CARPENTER: We'll start with Mr. Fisher.

14 MR. FISCHER: Thank you for your testimony, as  
15 well. Fred Fischer, Office of Investigations.

16 Mr. Dippel, I just wanted to refer quickly to the  
17 exhibit, Respondent Exhibit 1. There's no data for 1983 and  
18 1984. I'm just wondering if there's a reason.

19 MR. DIPPOLD: There was a reporting problem. I  
20 can explain it to you later.

21 MR. FISCHER: Okay.

22 MR. CASSIDY: (Off mic.)

23 MR. FISCHER: Okay. I wanted to get a sense of --  
24 the products that have been imported are NGK insulator  
25 production for Japan, those products that have been

1 insulated, you had mentioned that some of them were similar  
2 to products produced by Lock Insulator here in the United  
3 States. But are there products that are specialized or  
4 particular products that are produced in Japan, but they are  
5 not produced here in the U.S.?

6 MR. DIPPOLD: Yes. I can't identify them  
7 specifically, but a very low portion. Less than a half of  
8 percent of total station post insulator sales through Lock  
9 are insulators that cannot be made at Lock. It's a very  
10 specialty type of shed design, but it's a very small  
11 quantity.

12 MR. FISCHER: So I just wanted to be clear that  
13 the entire product line that Lock Insulators sells now or  
14 will be selling will be produced only in the U.S.? In other  
15 words, there are not certain products that your firm would  
16 be selling that would just be imported from Japan. I think  
17 you're clear on this. I just want to be clear.

18 MR. DIPPOLD: Yes, clear.

19 MR. FISCHER: Were semiconductor insulators  
20 imported from Japan during this period?

21 MR. DIPPOLD: No.

22 MR. FISCHER: Does a purchaser typically know or  
23 care about the origin of the insulator, where it is  
24 produced?

25 MR. DIPPOLD: Typically not.



1 MR. FISCHER: So, a contract doesn't specify?

2 MR. DIPPOLD: No. And we had orders where when we  
3 would plan to use NGK insulators, we would change it to Lock  
4 and then change it back. It was common.

5 MR. CASSIDY: As a footnote, we know at least one  
6 customer that has specified they wanted U.S. origin product  
7 and we did, as far as we know, only supply them with U.S.  
8 origin product. But, that was an exception opposed to the  
9 rule.

10 MR. FISCHER: To the extent that you have any  
11 documentation on that, you could supply that.

12 MR. CASSIDY: (Off mic.)

13 MR. FISCHER: To the extent that you could submit  
14 that, if you haven't already in your questionnaire response,  
15 in your post-conference, that would be helpful.

16 Those are all of my questions for now. Thank you.

17 MR. CARPENTER: Mr. Haldenstein?

18 MR. HALDENSTEIN: Mike Haldenstein, Office of the  
19 General Counsel. I would like you, also, to address the  
20 issue of domestic like product in your post-conference brief  
21 and just explain whether you agree or disagree with what the  
22 petitioners have proposed.

23 MR. CASSIDY: Of course we will. I must say, we  
24 don't know why they picked the 69KV cutoff, so we will have  
25 to leave it to them to explain and defend it. But, we will

1 be happy to comment on that.

2 MR. HALDENSTEIN: And explain if you're proposing  
3 a different domestic like product.

4 MR. CASSIDY: We are not.

5 MR. HALDENSTEIN: With respect to related parties,  
6 if you could explain whether you believe Lock should be  
7 excluded from the domestic industry based upon the imports  
8 they've acknowledge occurred during the period of  
9 investigation and explain whether you think they benefitted  
10 unfairly from those imports.

11 MR. CASSIDY: We will address this in the brief at  
12 some length. But let me say in shorthand, that we think  
13 they should be included and that all decisions by Lock about  
14 its production in Baltimore are made in Baltimore based on  
15 its analysis of the U.S. market. But, we will address the  
16 issue in the brief, in more detail.

17 MR. HALDENSTEIN: Also, I wasn't that clear on why  
18 the imports from NGK came in during the period, which Lock  
19 was expanding their capacity. Was it, you took too many  
20 orders and you couldn't fill them with your capacity? Or  
21 what exactly occurred and why that has ended now?

22 From what I understand you to be saying is that  
23 there won't be any more imports -- there won't be any  
24 subject merchandise coming in from Japan in the future. Is  
25 that --

1           MR. CASSIDY: What was going on was that we tried  
2 -- we had planned to increase capacity before the boom came.  
3 It was fortuitous that we had made these plans and begun to  
4 implement them. As the boom came, Lock attempted to  
5 accelerate its already existing plans to increase capacity.  
6 But the increase in demand outstripped its best efforts to  
7 increase capacity. So to keep its customer base intact  
8 during the boom, it brought in imports from NGK Japan.

9           And at the peak of the boom, it's clear that the  
10 demand for product from Lock customers exceeded even the  
11 theoretical capacity, had it been completely in place. So,  
12 there was a brief period when demand was beyond what Lock  
13 could supply today, now that it's achieved its capacity  
14 goals. But, that was a very short period. It was this  
15 bubble in station post insulator demand.

16           MR. HALDENSTEIN: I have no further questions.  
17 Thank you.

18           MR. CARPENTER: Mr. Thomsen?

19           MR. THOMSEN: Thank you, again, for your  
20 testimony. I just have a couple of questions. First of  
21 all, with respect to a question that I had asked  
22 petitioners, with respect to the sales agents, do you employ  
23 independent sales agents?

24           MR. DIPPOLD: We'd have to answer that in writing.

25           MR. THOMSEN: Okay, that is fine. And if you

1 could in writing, when you answer that, if you could tell me  
2 what percent of your sales are through the independent sales  
3 agents and what their commission rate is, using 2001 as a  
4 reference point.

5 MR. DIPPOLD: Use 2001?

6 MR. THOMSEN: Yes, just use 2001 as what their  
7 average is.

8 Also, what range of products has NGK produced, in  
9 terms of voltage? You had noted that you didn't know why  
10 the 69 kilovolts was chosen as a cutoff point. I just  
11 wanted to know --

12 MR. DIPPOLD: You mean, NGK in Japan?

13 MR. THOMSEN: Lock here, NGK in Japan, both.

14 MR. DIPPOLD: Well, I can't -- I need help to  
15 answer for NGK. Our maximum voltage is 500K.

16 MR. THOMSEN: What's your minimum voltage?

17 MR. DIPPOLD: It's 11KV.

18 MR. THOMSEN: Excuse me?

19 MR. DIPPOLD: Same in Japan.

20 MR. THOMSEN: Okay, thank you. And do you mostly  
21 ship solid, hollow, or cavity core?

22 MR. DIPPOLD: Only solid.

23 MR. THOMSEN: Only solid. And how has the market  
24 changed with respect to solid, hollow, and cavity core?

25 MR. DIPPOLD: We've only sold solid.

1           MR. THOMSEN: Do you know how the market has  
2 changed over the past years?

3           MR. DIPPOLD: I cannot respond to that. I can  
4 respond to that later.

5           MR. THOMSEN: Okay.

6           MR. CASSIDY: We'll respond to that.

7           MR. THOMSEN: Thank you. I ask just in general if  
8 -- you had noted that you're not expecting to import any  
9 more station post from Japan. Why are you opposing this  
10 action, if it's not going to have any affect on your  
11 company?

12          MR. CASSIDY: Why are we opposing this?

13          MR. THOMSEN: Why are you opposing the action, if  
14 you are not planning on --

15          MR. CASSIDY: We are opposing the action, because  
16 our competitors are using it by going to our customers and  
17 telling them that they can no longer get product from us,  
18 which, of course, if untrue, but it does have an effect in  
19 the marketplace.

20          MR. THOMSEN: Okay. Thank you, very much. No  
21 further questions.

22          MR. CARPENTER: Thank you.

23          MR. BOYLAND: Good morning. Thank you for your  
24 testimony. I haven't actually seen your questionnaire yet;  
25 but, if you have not submitted your internal profit and loss

1 statement, I would like to see that.

2 Also, with respect to the financial performance on  
3 station post that you provide in the questionnaire, I would  
4 like a statement regarding how you segregated out and  
5 basically isolated this to just U.S. produced --

6 MR. DIPPOLD: We'll have to give that to you, in  
7 addition to --

8 MR. BOYLAND: Okay.

9 MR. DIPPOLD: -- in the post-conference brief.

10 MR. BOYLAND: I have no further questions.

11 MR. CARPENTER: Mr. Cutchin?

12 MR. CUTCHIN: John Cutchin, Office of Industries.

13 With respect to the issue of ceramic versus non-ceramic  
14 insulators, do you agree with the petitioners that really  
15 the non-ceramic is not a factor in the marketplace?

16 MR. DIPPOLD: It's not a significant portion of  
17 the demand.

18 MR. CUTCHIN: So, you don't believe that we should  
19 consider it as a --

20 MR. DIPPOLD: I think we'll give you a review in  
21 writing.

22 MR. CUTCHIN: Okay, thank you. That's all I have.  
23 Thank you.

24 MR. CARPENTER: Mr. McClure?

25 MR. MCCLURE: Jim McClure, Office of

1 Investigations. I know that, obviously, 2001 saw the record  
2 level of consumption. And you're saying, at this point,  
3 your capacity is such that even reaching a record level  
4 again, you could service all of your clients from the  
5 Baltimore facility.

6 MR. DIPPOLD: I did not say that. I don't know  
7 that that would occur, if we experienced that type of demand  
8 surge again. We can satisfy our customer's demand at  
9 today's levels from Baltimore.

10 MR. MCCLURE: But where we to achieve the 2001  
11 level again, you aren't certain whether you could?

12 MR. DIPPOLD: That's correct. I can't say that.

13 MR. MCCLURE: Okay. With respect to the dynamics  
14 of the market, given the demise of Enron and the various go-  
15 go energy companies of the late 1990s and the turn of the  
16 most recent century here, will that -- until we see  
17 something like that again, will the demand be held down?

18 MR. DIPPOLD: I don't know that we can predict  
19 what suppresses the demand now. I have to respond to that.

20 MR. MCCLURE: Okay, fine. Thanks. I have no --

21 MR. DIPPOLD: You're asking specifically how will  
22 the demand be -- if the market is relieved of these problem  
23 companies, will the demand return, what's our view?

24 MR. MCCLURE: Yes.

25 MR. CASSIDY: You, also, want our best guesses

1 about what demand will be?

2 MR. MCCLURE: Yes. I have no further questions.

3 MR. CARPENTER: A few clarifications. Mr.

4 Dippold, did I understand you to say that you make up to 500  
5 kilovolt products in Baltimore?

6 MR. DIPPOLD: That's correct.

7 MR. CARPENTER: Have there been any imports of  
8 over 500 kilovolt products from Japan in the last few years?

9 MR. CASSIDY: We don't know. We'll get you the  
10 answer to the question.

11 MR. CARPENTER: Okay. And if any of this is  
12 confidential, you can respond in your brief. I'm just  
13 trying to get a sense as to whether there's a possibility  
14 that there may be some products within the product line that  
15 may not be made in Baltimore, that could still in the future  
16 be supplied by NGK from Japan.

17 MR. CASSIDY: Well, the answer to that question is  
18 yes. It's an extremely small share of the sales of Lock,  
19 less than one-half of a percent; but, the answer is, yes.

20 MR. CARPENTER: Okay. If you could just give us  
21 details on that in your post-conference brief, I would  
22 appreciate it.

23 The petitioners have alleged that NGK has targeted  
24 premium products in the market. I'm not sure specifically  
25 what they're referring to. But, do you have any response to



1 that?

2 MR. CASSIDY: The high end of these products do  
3 command greater margins for the manufacturers and it is true  
4 that Lock has targeted that, but using product made in  
5 Baltimore.

6 MR. CARPENTER: Okay. Mr. Dippold, you said that  
7 the Baltimore plant has been increasing their capacity and  
8 the way we asked for capacity data in a producer  
9 questionnaire is average capacity for each of the periods  
10 that we're looking at. The last period was January through  
11 September of 2002. And I was just wondering if we have  
12 captured the highest level of capacity that you have  
13 achieved. In other words, if your capacity at the end of  
14 September of 2002 or even at the end of December 2002 might  
15 have been higher than what you reported in your  
16 questionnaire response, if you could clarify that in your  
17 brief.

18 MR. DIPPOLD: Okay.

19 MR. CARPENTER: Also, are there any other  
20 producers of the subject merchandise in Japan, that you're  
21 aware of, whether or not they export to the United States?

22 MR. CASSIDY: There are no producers of product  
23 meeting U.S. standards. Whether or not there are other  
24 producers of porcelain insulators, I don't know. We will  
25 find out and let you know.

1           MR. CARPENTER: Okay, thank you. And one last  
2 question. Is Lock the importer of record for all the  
3 imports from NGK?

4           MR. CASSIDY: I'm almost certain the answer is  
5 yes, but I'll confirm that.

6           MR. CARPENTER: Mr. McClure?

7           MR. MCCLURE: Jim McClure, Office of  
8 Investigations. The scope mentioned in the Department of  
9 Commerce initiation of this case, it was 115KV and above. I  
10 assume that somewhat comports with your notion of the like  
11 product.

12          MR. CASSIDY: Sure. I mean, as I said before, we  
13 don't know why petitioners picked this definition of the  
14 scope, but we don't object to it.

15          MR. MCCLURE: And one final thing. Inasmuch as I  
16 advised Mr. Scheldrick that he had seven minutes left, Mr.  
17 Cassidy, you have 36 minutes left. Feel free not to use it.

18          MR. CARPENTER: Mr. Fischer?

19          MR. FISCHER: Thank you. I have just two brief  
20 questions. The first deals with how the Commission should  
21 look at imports from non-subject countries and if you could  
22 give us your thoughts on the best numbers to use for non-  
23 subject imports, that would be useful. Also, if you're  
24 aware of any farm producers that are exporting insulators to  
25 the United States, if you could identify them in your post-

1 conference brief. It may help us, as well.

2 MR. CASSIDY: We consider this to be an important  
3 issue and we will address it in the post-conference brief.

4 MR. FISCHER: Thank you. And then one final  
5 question. Mr. Dippold, you had mentioned that in 1999, Lock  
6 Insulators had stopped producing apparatus insulators. And  
7 I just wanted to get a little more information in your post-  
8 conference brief, exactly the timing of your ceasing of  
9 production of that, and you had also mentioned the removal  
10 of equipment and perhaps some cost involved in that. Our  
11 questionnaire involved only the subject product and I just  
12 want to make sure that in the questionnaire response for  
13 Lock Insulator, that we're only talking about station post  
14 insulators, whether it's the financial and production  
15 information.

16 And thirdly, on the pricing, we had only asked for  
17 U.S.-produced data in the pricing information for Lock  
18 Insulators. I just want to confirm that that's the case.  
19 Thank you, very much.

20 MR. CARPENTER: Mr. Scheldrick, would you like a  
21 few minutes to get your thoughts together for your closing  
22 statements, or are you prepared to go now?

23 MR. SCHELDRIK: We're ready to go now.

24 MR. CARPENTER: Okay. You can make your way up  
25 here. Each side will be given 10 minutes for closing

1 statements, beginning with the petitioners.

2 MR. SCHELDRICK: Thank you, Mr. Chairman, members  
3 of the staff. The issue before us today is whether there is  
4 a reasonable indication that imports of high voltage and  
5 extra high voltage ceramic station post insulators from  
6 Japan have caused material injury to the domestic industry  
7 and whether they threaten to cause material injury.

8 We have heard from the petitioners'  
9 representatives and you've seen in the proprietary  
10 information submitted in the petition and the questionnaire  
11 responses the nature and extent of that injury. And I think  
12 the issue comes down to why this has happened. Why is it  
13 that during the period of very strong demand, we have seen  
14 prices fall by up to 25 percent, based on the 1999 levels?

15 Now, the argument that we have just heard advanced  
16 is that these price reductions were a result of fierce  
17 domestic competition among the U.S. manufacturers. Well,  
18 this does not explain the very substantial rise in imports,  
19 which is apparent from the public data that we have  
20 submitted in the petition. We, also, hear that Lock, in  
21 Baltimore, is simply using these imports to top off its own  
22 capacity and to keep its customer base intact during periods  
23 of very high demand. I would respectfully suggest that this  
24 is really an argument that the tail is wagging the dog.

25 NGK is a global manufacturing company with a

1 global marketing strategy. The U.S. is obviously a very  
2 important market. And I simply do not believe and I would  
3 urge the Commission to treat with great skepticism the  
4 notion that the production and marketing activities of NGK  
5 in Japan are driving by decisions made in Baltimore. In any  
6 event, the notion that imports are being used simply to top  
7 off domestic production capacity does not explain the  
8 downward trend in pricing; in fact, the collapse of the  
9 domestic market price.

10           If you assume that what is happening is that Lock  
11 is looking to increase its market share and increase its  
12 profitability in the long term, it would certainly have no  
13 incentive whatsoever to see prices driven down to levels  
14 that are 25 percent below the level in 1999.

15           We submit that what is happening here is that NGK  
16 in Japan has targeted one or more of the U.S. producers and  
17 is seeking, through a practice of predatory pricing, to  
18 drive one or more of those producers out of business. We  
19 believe it is as simple as that and we believe that the  
20 data, which we have submitted and will submit in the post-  
21 conference brief, would demonstrate that.

22           I know that you have had many companies, who have  
23 come before, as we do today, and told you that their backs  
24 are against the wall and that only action by this agency and  
25 by the Department of Commerce can save them from ruin. But,

1 I would suggest that this is the case that the U.S. industry  
2 faces today.

3 I think the companies that you've heard from this  
4 morning, speaking on behalf of the petition, are, in many  
5 ways, exemplary examples of small U.S. companies that can  
6 compete and indeed have been competing in a domestic and  
7 indeed a global market. These are companies with proud  
8 traditions. They are also companies, which have  
9 continuously over the years evolved. They have developed.  
10 They have invested in new production equipment; highly  
11 competitive companies. I think it's very interesting that  
12 the three company representatives that spoke today are also  
13 part equity owners of the companies. These are men with  
14 many years of experience in the business, who believe in  
15 these companies enough and who believe in the products, to  
16 invest their own money in it. That's no small thing. And  
17 there is no question that given a level playing field, they  
18 can and will compete effectively.

19 The reality is, it is very difficult, as you know,  
20 for any small U.S. company to compete against a global  
21 manufacturing powerhouse, such as NGK, which has the  
22 ability, because it has high prices in a domestic market,  
23 to, in effect, subsidize predatory price exports to the  
24 U.S., in order to gain market share.

25 We look forward to submitting the additional

1 information you have requested and we urge you to conclude  
2 that there is indeed a reasonable indication that imports of  
3 high voltage and extra high voltage station posts from Japan  
4 have materially injured the domestic industry and threaten  
5 to continue to do so in the future. Thank you, very much.

6 MR. CARPENTER: Mr. Cassidy?

7 MR. CASSIDY: I am very tempted, Mr. Chairman, to  
8 take Mr. McClure's subtle hint and get out of here  
9 immediately; but let me take a couple of seconds to make  
10 some observations.

11 When one has a commodity product with very high  
12 fixed costs of production and three of the four domestic  
13 producers of that product increase their capacity and  
14 increase their production, therefore, lowering the cost of  
15 their per unit cost, the result, given competition with each  
16 other and competition from a very aggressive European and  
17 the potential exporters and the potential entrance of  
18 Brazilians and Indians, as well as Japanese, would lead to a  
19 decline in prices. This is not surprising. It has been  
20 going on for some time. It did not start at all with the  
21 increase in Japanese imports. You can see that very clearly  
22 from the data. So that the notion that this price trend  
23 down, which is obviously going on, was started by, caused  
24 by, or is being continued by Japanese imports is factually  
25 without any basis whatsoever.

1           The second point I have is that the Lapp  
2   conspiracy theory, that NGK has targeted a company,  
3   presumably Lapp, is what they're thinking about for demise,  
4   is nonsense. It's also legally irrelevant. But, if you're  
5   in the mood for conspiracy theories, let's think about  
6   another one. Why didn't they bring this case against all of  
7   the suppliers of station post insulators to the U.S.? It is  
8   a way to save money, as you know, as I know, since I bring  
9   these cases, if you consolidate your cases.

10           There certainly should be cases against the  
11   Europeans. Ceram has production facilities in Eastern  
12   Europe, which are extremely low cost. It has infusion  
13   technology, which can bring on deliveries very quickly. And  
14   it is appearing in the marketplace, as you will see in the  
15   pricing data, frequently winning cases.

16           There are also low-cost Brazilian producers.  
17   There are low-cost Indian producers. Why don't we have  
18   cases against those, that these poor little companies have  
19   their backs against the wall and are about to go out of  
20   business? They forgot about these other competitors, who  
21   can and undoubtedly will come in with product.

22           So, why Japan? Particularly why Japan, when the  
23   Japanese company, of which they are so afraid, apparently is  
24   thinking about reducing its production capacity in Japan.  
25   So, you will have an order against a company that is, as



1 we've told you, not shipping to the United States and  
2 furthermore is reducing its capability to ship to the United  
3 States from Japan.

4 That's an interesting strategy on the part of  
5 Lapp. I'm afraid I can't explain to you why, but maybe you  
6 can figure it out. Thanks a lot.

7 MR. CARPENTER: Thanks again for all participants,  
8 for your testimony and for your responses to the staff  
9 questions. The deadline for both submission of corrections  
10 to the transcript and for briefs in the investigation is  
11 Friday, January 24th. If briefs contain business  
12 proprietary information, a non-proprietary version is due on  
13 January 27th. The Commission is scheduled its vote on the  
14 investigation for February 13th, at 11:00 a.m., and will  
15 report its determination to the Secretary of Commerce on  
16 February 14th. Commissioners' opinions will be transmitted  
17 to Commerce on February 24th. This conference is adjourned.

18 (Whereupon, at 12:15 p.m., the conference was  
19 adjourned.)

20 //

21 //

22 //

23 //

24 //

25 //

**CERTIFICATION OF TRANSCRIPTION**

**TITLE:** Certain Ceramic Station Post Insulators from Japan

**INVESTIGATION NO.:** 731-TA-1023

**HEARING DATE:** Janaury 21, 2003

**LOCATION:** Washington, D.C

**NATURE OF HEARING:** Preliminary Conference

I hereby certify that the foregoing/attached transcript is a true, correct and complete record of the above-referenced proceeding(s) of the U.S. International Trade Commission.

**DATE:** 1/21/03

**SIGNED:** LaShonne Robinson  
Signature of the Contractor or the  
Authorized Contractor's Representative  
1220 L Street, N.W. - Suite 600  
Washington, D.C. 20005

I hereby certify that I am not the Court Reporter and that I have proofread the above-referenced transcript of the proceeding(s) of the U.S. International Trade Commission, against the aforementioned Court Reporter's notes and recordings, for accuracy in transcription in the spelling, hyphenation, punctuation and speaker-identification, and did not make any changes of a substantive nature. The foregoing/attached transcript is a true, correct and complete transcription of the proceeding(s).

**SIGNED:** Carlos Gamez  
Signature of Proofreader

I hereby certify that I reported the above-referenced proceeding(s) of the U.S. International Trade Commission and caused to be prepared from my tapes and notes of the proceedings a true, correct and complete verbatim recording of the proceeding(s).

**SIGNED:** Contreica Dawson  
Signature of Court Reporter